

## DERIVATIVES OF TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS AND CONVERSE THEOREM OF THE CONSTRUCTIVE THEORY OF FUNCTIONS IN MORREY SPACES

SADULLA Z. JAFAROV

**Abstract.** Let  $\mathbb{T}$  denote the interval  $[-0, 2\pi]$ . In this work the relationship between the modulus of smoothness of derivatives of a function and the best approximation in Morrey space  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , have been investigated. In addition, the theorems related to the derivatives of trigonometric polynomials in Morrey space  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , are proved.

### 1. Introduction and the main results

Let  $\mathbb{T}$  denote the interval  $[0, 2\pi]$ . Let  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $1 \leq p < \infty$  be the Lebesgue space of all measurable  $2\pi$ -periodic functions defined on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that

$$\|f\|_p := \left( \int_{\mathbb{T}} |f(x)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty.$$

The Morrey spaces  $L_0^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$  for a given  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$  and  $p \geq 1$ , we define as the set of functions  $f \in L_{loc}^p(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$\|f\|_{L_0^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} := \left\{ \sup_I \frac{1}{|I|^{1-\frac{\lambda}{2}}} \int_I |f(t)|^p dt \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty,$$

where the supremum is taken over all intervals  $I \subset [0, 2\pi]$ . Note that  $L_0^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$  becomes a Banach spaces,  $\lambda = 2$  coincides with  $L^p(\mathbb{T})$  and for  $\lambda = 0$  with  $L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ . If  $0 \leq \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq 2$ , then  $L_0^{p,\lambda_1}(\mathbb{T}) \subset L_0^{p,\lambda_2}(\mathbb{T})$ . Also, if  $f \in L_0^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ , then  $f \in L^p(\mathbb{T})$  and hence  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ . The Morrey spaces, were introduced by C. B. Morrey in 1938. The properties of these spaces have been investigated intensively by several authors and together with weighted Lebesgue spaces  $L_\omega^p$  play an important role in the theory of partial equations, in the study of local behavior of the solutions of elliptic differential equations and describe local regularity more

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 26D10, 41A20, 41A25, 41A27, 41A28, 42A10, 46E30.

*Key words and phrases.* Morrey space,  $r$ -th modulus of smoothness, best approximation, converse theorem.

precisely than Lebesgue spaces  $L^p$ . The detailed information about properties of the Morrey spaces can be found in [13-15], [17], [26 ], [31], [32], [35], [37], [40], [44] and [45].

In what follows by  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$  we denote the closure of the linear subspace of  $L_0^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$  functions, whose shifts are continuous in  $L_0^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ . Suppose that  $x, h$  are real, and let us take into account the following series

$$\Delta_h^\alpha f(x) := \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k} f(x + (\alpha - k)h), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T}).$$

Then, by [36, Theorem 11, p.135] the last series converges absolutely almost everywhere (a. e.) on  $\mathbb{T}$ . Hence the operator  $\Delta_h^\alpha$  by [24] is bounded in the space  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ . Namely,

$$\Delta_h^\alpha f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^\infty (-1)^k \binom{\alpha}{k} f(x + (\alpha - k)h) = \sum_{k=0}^\alpha (-1)^{\alpha-k} \binom{\alpha}{k} f(x + kh).$$

The function

$$\omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \delta) := \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|\Delta_h^\alpha(f, \cdot)\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$$

is called  $\alpha$ -th modulus of smoothness of  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$  and  $p \geq 1$ .

The modulus of smoothness  $\omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \delta)_M$  has the following properties [24] :

- 1)  $\omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \delta)$  is an increasing function,
- 2)  $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \delta) = 0$  for every  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$  and  $p \geq 1$ ,
- 3)  $\omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f + g, \delta) \leq \omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \delta) + \omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(g, \delta)$  for  $f, g \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$
- 4)  $\omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, n\delta) \leq n^\alpha \omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \delta)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,
- 5)  $\omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, s\delta) \leq (s + 1)^\alpha \omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \delta)$ ,
- 6)  $\omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \delta) \leq [(n + 1)\delta + 1]^\alpha \omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \frac{1}{n+1})$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

For  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ , we define the derivative of  $f$  as a function  $g$  satisfying the condition

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{h} (f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)) - g(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = 0 \tag{1.1}$$

and we write  $g = f'$ .

We denote by  $E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}$  the best approximation of  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$  by trigonometric polynomials of degree not exceeding  $n$ , i.e.,

$$E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = \inf \left\{ \|f - T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} : T_n \in \Pi_n \right\},$$

where  $\Pi_n$  denotes the class of trigonometric polynomials of degree at most  $n$ .

We use the constants  $c, c_1, c_2, \dots$  (in general, different in different relations) which depend only on the quantities that are not important for the questions of interest.

The problems of approximation theory in the weighted and non-weighted Morrey spaces have been investigated by several authors (see, for example, [6 ], [7], [9], [18], [24], [25 ] and [34] ).

In this work the relationship between the modulus of smoothness of the derivatives of the function and the best approximation in Morrey space  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , have been investigated. In addition, the theorems

related to the derivatives of the trigonometric polynomials in Morrey space  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  are proved.

The similar problems in the different spaces were investigated in [1-5], [10], [11], [16], [19-23], [27-30], [33], [38], [39], [42] and [43].

Our main results are the following.

**Theorem 1.1.** *If  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ , and if the condition*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{r-1} E_m(f)_{p,\lambda} < \infty,$$

*is fulfilled for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . If  $T_n \in \Pi_n$  is a near best approximation of  $f$ , then has derivative of order  $r$  in the sense of (1.1) and the estimate*

$$\|f^{(r)} - T_n^{(r)}\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_1 \left\{ n^r E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} + \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \mu^{r-1} E_{\mu}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \right\}.$$

*holds with a constant  $c_1 = c_2(p, \lambda, r) > 0$  independent of  $n$ .*

**Corollary 1.1.** *If  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$  and the condition*

$$\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{r-1} E_m(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} < \infty,$$

*is fulfilled for some  $r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , then  $f$  has the  $r$ -th derivative  $f^{(r)}$  in the sense of  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$  and the estimate*

$$\omega_{p,\lambda}^{\alpha}(f^{(r)}, \frac{1}{n}) \leq c_2 \left\{ \frac{1}{n^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=0}^n (\nu + 1)^{(\alpha+r)-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} + \sum_{\nu=n+1}^{\infty} \nu^{r-1} E_{\nu}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \right\}$$

*holds, where  $c_2 = c_2(p, \lambda, \alpha, r) > 0$  is a constant independent of  $n$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $T_n$  a sequence of trigonometric polynomials of degree  $n$  satisfies the following conditions:*

$$\|f - T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = o\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \text{ and } \|g - T_n'\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = o(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

*Then we obtain  $f' = g$ , that is, the function  $g$  satisfies the condition (1.1).*

**Corollary 1.2.** *Let  $f, g_1, \dots, g_k \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $T_n$  be a sequence of trigonometric polynomials satisfying, for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ , the conditions*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} &= o\left(\frac{1}{n^k}\right), \dots n \rightarrow \infty, \\ \|g_i - T_n^{(i)}\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} &= o\left(\frac{1}{n^{k-1}}\right), \dots n \rightarrow \infty \end{aligned}$$

*Then we obtain  $g_i = g'_{i-1}$  ( $f = g_0$ ) in the sense of (1.1).*

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\alpha, r \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  ( $r > \alpha > 0$ ) and let  $T_n(f) \in \Pi_n$  be the polynomial of best approximation to  $f$  in  $L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ . In order that*

$$\left\| T_n^{(r)}(f) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = O(n^{r-\alpha})$$

*it is necessary and sufficient that*

$$E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = O(n^{-\alpha}).$$

### 2. Proofs of main results

The following lemmas for the Morrey spaces  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$  play an important role in the proofs of the main results.

**Lemma 2.1.** [24] *Let  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then for every  $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  the inequality*

$$E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_3 \omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \frac{1}{n+1})$$

*holds with a constant  $c_3 > 0$  independent of  $n$ .*

**Lemma 2.2.** [25] *Let  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 \leq \lambda \leq 2$  and  $p \geq 1$ . Then for each trigonometric polynomial  $T_n$  of degree  $n$ , the inequality*

$$\left\| T_n^{(k)} \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_4 n^k \|T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \tag{2.1}$$

*holds with a constant  $c_4$  independent of  $n$ .*

**Lemma 2.3.** [25] *Let  $f \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$ ,  $0 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $1 < p < \infty$ . Then the estimate*

$$\omega_{p,\lambda}^\alpha(f, \frac{1}{n}) \leq \frac{c_5}{n^\alpha} \sum_{k=1}^n k^{\alpha-1} E_k(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}, \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

*holds with a const  $c_5 = c_5(p, \alpha, \lambda) > 0$  independent of  $n$ .*

*Proof of Theorem 1.1.* There exist a sequence of trigonometric polynomials  $\{T_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  such that

$$\|f - T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}.$$

From the conditions of theorem the following expressions holds:

$$\|T_{2^i} - T_{2^{i-1}}\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2E_{2^{i-1}}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})},$$

$$f = T_1 + \sum_{i=1}^\infty (T_{2^i} - T_{2^{i-1}}) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty V_{2^i}$$

Now, we show that for  $j = 1, \dots, r$  there exist the function  $\psi_j(x) \in L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})$  such that

$$\psi_j(x) = \sum_{i=0}^\infty V_{2^i}^{(j)}(x)$$

and

$$\psi_j(x) = f^{(j)}(x).$$

Using (2.1) for  $j = 1$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| \frac{f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)}{h} - \varphi_1(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \\
 & \leq \left\| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{V_{2^i}(\cdot + h) - V_{2^i}(\cdot)}{h} - \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} V'_{2^i}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \left\| \frac{V_{2^i}(\cdot + h) - V_{2^i}(\cdot)}{h} - V'_{2^i}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \\
 & \quad + \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} \left( \left\| \frac{V_{2^i}(\cdot + h) - V_{2^i}(\cdot)}{h} \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} + \|V_{2^i}\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \right) \\
 & \leq \sum_{i=0}^{n_0} \left\| \frac{V_{2^i}(\cdot + h) - V_{2^i}(\cdot)}{h} - V'_{2^i}(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} + c_6 \sum_{i=n_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{i\beta} \|V_{2^i}\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{2.2}
 \end{aligned}$$

From the inequality (2.2) for  $h \rightarrow 0$  and  $n \geq n_0$  we have

$$f'(x) = \psi_1(x).$$

For  $j = 2, \dots, n$ , to prove theorem we use the method of induction. Taking (2.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| T_n^{(r)} - f^{(r)} \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \left\| T_n^{(r)} - T_{2^m}^{(r)} \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} \left\| T_{2^i}^{(r)} - T_{2^{i-1}}^{(r)} \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \\
 & \leq c_7 \left\{ n^r E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{ir} E_{2^{i-1}}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \right\}. \tag{2.3}
 \end{aligned}$$

For  $i = 1, 2, \dots$ , the following inequality holds:

$$2^{ir} E_{2^{i-1}}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq 2^{2r} \sum_{\mu=2^{i-2}+1}^{2^{i-1}} \mu^{r-1} E_{\mu}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}. \tag{2.4}$$

Choosing  $m$  such that  $2^{m-1} \leq n < 2^m$ , using (2.3) and (2.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left\| T_n^{(r)} - f^{(r)} \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_8 \left\{ n^r E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} + \sum_{i=m+1}^{\infty} 2^{ri} E_{2^{i-1}}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \right\} \\
 & \leq c_9 \left\{ n^r E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} + \sum_{\mu=n+1}^{\infty} \mu^{r-1} E_{\mu}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \right\}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Corollary 1.1 follows immediately from lemma 2.3 and theorem 1.1.

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* We take  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We choose natural number  $n_0 = n_0(\varepsilon)$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$

$$\|f - T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \varepsilon \frac{1}{n}, \quad \|g - T'_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq \varepsilon. \tag{2.5}$$

Taking account of (2.5) for  $h$  satisfying the condition  $\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{n} \leq h \leq \frac{1}{n}$  we obtain

$$\left\| \frac{f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)}{h} - \frac{T(\cdot + h) - T_n(\cdot)}{h} \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p \leq 2^{\frac{p}{2}}. \tag{2.6}$$

Considering [12] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_h^r T_n(x) &= \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i T_n \left( x + \left( \frac{r}{2} - i \right) h \right) \\ &= \sum_{j=r}^{\infty} \sum_{i=0}^r \binom{r}{i} (-1)^i \left( \frac{r}{2} - i \right)^j \frac{h^j}{j!} T_n^{(j)}(x) \\ &= h^r T_n^{(r)}(x) + \sum_{j=r+1}^{\infty} \eta(r, j) j^{-r} T_n^{(j)}(x), \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

where  $-\frac{r}{2} < \eta(r, j) < \frac{r}{2}$  and  $\eta(r, j) = 0$  if  $j - r$  is odd. Then using (2.7) and Lemma 2.2 for  $\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{n} \leq h < \frac{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{n}$  we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{T_n(\cdot + h) - T_n(\cdot)}{h} - T_n'(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p &\leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{h^{m-1}}{m!} \right)^p \|T_n^{(m)}\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p \\ &\leq \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} (hn)^{(m-1)p} \|T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p \\ &\leq 4 \frac{\varepsilon}{1 - 2^p \varepsilon^{p/2}} \|T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p \leq c_{12} \varepsilon^p \|T_n\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p. \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

Using (2.6), (2.8) and (2.5) for  $\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{n} \leq h < \frac{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{n}$  we reach

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \frac{f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)}{h} - g \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p &\leq \left\| \frac{f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)}{h} - \frac{T_n(\cdot + h) - T_n(\cdot)}{h} \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p \\ &\quad + \left\| \frac{T_n(\cdot + h) - T_n(\cdot)}{h} - T_n'(\cdot) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p \\ &\quad + \|T_n' - g\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p \leq c_{10} \left( \varepsilon^{p/2} + \varepsilon^p \|f\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})}^p + \varepsilon^p \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the last inequality we have  $g = f'$  in the sense of (1.1). Then the proof of Theorem 1.2 is completed.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We suppose that

$$E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = \|f - T_n(f)\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = O(n^{-\alpha}), \quad (\alpha > 0). \tag{2.9}$$

We use the method of the proofs in [12] and [41] we can prove that

$$\left\| T_n^{(r)}(f) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_{11} n^r \omega_{p,\lambda}^r \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right) \tag{2.10}$$

$$\omega_{p,\lambda}^r \left( f, \frac{1}{n} \right) \leq c_{12} n^{-r} \left\| T_n^{(r)}(f) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \tag{2.11}$$

Then applying (2.10), lemma 2.3 and relation (2.9) we obtain

$$\left\| T_n^{(r)}(f) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_{13} n^{r-\alpha}.$$

Now we suppose that the relation

$$\left\| T_n^{(r)}(f) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} = O(n^{r-\alpha}) \tag{2.12}$$

holds. By virtue of lemma 2.1, (2.11) and (2.12) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{2n}(f) - T_n(T_{2n}(f))\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} &\leq c_{14}\omega_{p,\lambda}^r(f, \frac{1}{n+1}) \\ &\leq c_{15}n^{-r} \left\| T_{2n}^{(r)}(f) \right\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_{16}n^{-r}(n^{r-\alpha}) \leq c_{17}n^{-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

On the other hand, since  $T_n(T_{2n}(f))$  is a polynomial of order  $n$  the following inequality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{2n}(f) - T_n(T_{2n}(f))\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} &= \|f - T_n(T_{2n}(f)) - (f - T_{2n}(f))\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\geq \|f - T_n(T_{2n}(f))\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} - \|f - T_{2n}(f)\|_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \\ &\geq E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} - E_{2n}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

By (2.13) and (2.14) we obtain

$$0 \leq E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} - E_{2n}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_{18}n^{-\alpha}. \tag{2.15}$$

Since  $E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \rightarrow 0$  the inequality (2.15) yields

$$\sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} \left\{ E_{2^k}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} - E_{2^{k+1}}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \right\} \leq c_{19} \sum_{k=n_0}^{\infty} 2^{-k\alpha}.$$

Then from the last inequality we conclude that

$$E_{2^{n_0}}(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_{20}2^{-n_0\alpha}. \tag{2.16}$$

Note that inequality (2.16) is equivalent to  $E_n(f)_{L^{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{T})} \leq c_{21}(n^{-\alpha})$ .The theorem is proved.

**Acknowledgement.** The author would like to thank the referee for all precious advice and very helpful remarks.

### References

- [1] R. Akgun, Jackson and converse theorems of trigonometric approximation in weighted Lebesgue spaces, *Proc. A. Razmadze Math. Inst.* **152** (2010), 1-18.
- [2] R. Akgun, Realization and characterization of modulus of smoothness in weighted Lebesgue spaces, *St. Petersburg Math. J.* **26** (2015), 741-756.
- [3] R. Akgun, Gadjieva’s conjecture,  $K$ - functionals, and some applications in weighted Lebesgue spaces, *Turk J. Math.* **42** (2018) 1484-1503.
- [4] R. Akgun, Improved converse theorems and fractiona moduli of smoothness in Orlicz spaces, *Bull. Malays. Math. Sci.* **36** (2013), no 1, 49-62.
- [5] R. Akgun, Some inequalities of trigonometric approximation in weighted Orlicz spaces, CRM Centre De Recerca Mathematica Preprint num 1172, September 2013.
- [6] A. Almeida, S. Samko, Approximation in Morrey spaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **272** (2017),no 6, 2392-2411.
- [7] A.Almeida, S. Samko, Approximation in generalized Morrey spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* **25** (2018), no 2, 155-168.

- [8] R. Akgun, D. M. Israfilov, Approximation in weighted Orlicz spaces, *Math. Slovaca* **61** (2011), no. 4, 601-618.
- [9] V. I. Burenkov, M. Laza De Crutoforis, N. A. Kydyrmina, Approximation by  $C^\infty$  functions in Morrey spaces, *Math. J.* **14** (2014), no 52, 66-75.
- [10] R. A. DeVore and G. G. Lorentz, *Constructive Approximation*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1993.
- [11] Z. Ditzian, S.Tikhonov, Moduli of smoothness of functions and their derivatives, *Studia Mathematica* **180** (2007), no 2, 143-160.
- [12] Z. Ditzian, V.H. Hristov and K. G. Ivanov, Moduli of smoothness and  $K$ -functionals in  $L_p$ ,  $0 < p < 1$ , *Const. Approx.* **11** (1995), 67-83.
- [13] D. Fan, S. Lu, D. Yang, Regularity in Morrey spaces of strang solitions to non-divergence elliptic equations with VMO coefficients, *Georgian Math. J.* **5** (1998), 425-440.
- [14] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations and Non-linear Elliptic Systems*, Princeton Univ. Oress, Princeton 1983.
- [15] Y. Giga, T. Miyakawa, Navier-Stokes flow in  $R^3$  with measures as initial vorticity and Morrey spaces, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **14** (1989), no 5, 577-618.
- [16] A. Guven, D. M. Israfilov, Polynomial approximation in Smirnov -Orlicz classes, *Comput. Methods Funct. Theory* **2** (2002), no. 2, 509-517.
- [17] V. S. Guliyev, J. Hasanov, S. Samko, Boundedness of the maximal, potential and singular operators in the generalized variable exponent Morrey Spaces, *Math. Scand.* **107** (2010), 285-304.
- [18] V. S. Guliyev, A. Ghorbanalizadeh, Y. Sawano, Approximation by trigonometric polynomials in variable exponent Morrey spaces, *Anal. Math. Phys.* (in press).
- [19] V. I. Ivanov, Direct and inverse theorems of the theory of approximation in the  $L_p$  for  $0 < p < 1$ , *Mat. Zametki* **18** (1975), 641-658 (in Russian).
- [20] D. M. Israfilov, Approximation by p-Faber polynomials in the weighted Smirnov class  $E^p(G, \omega)$  and the Bieberbach polynomials, *Constr. Approx.* **17** (2001), 335-351.
- [21] D. M. Israfilov, Approximation by p-Faber Laurent rational functions in the weighted Lebesgue spaces, *Czechoslovak Math. J.* **54**, (2004), no 3, 751-765.
- [22] D. M. Israfilov, R. Akgun, Approximation in weighted Smirnov-Orlicz classes, *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.* **46** (2006) no 4.
- [23] D. M. Israfilov, A. Guven, Approximation by trigonometric polynomials in weighted Orlicz spaces, *Stud. Math.* **174** (2006), no 2, 147-168.
- [24] D.M. Israfilov and N. P. Tozman, *Approximation by polynomials in Morrey- Smirnov classes*, East J. Approx, **14** (2008), no 3, 255-269.
- [25] D. M. Israfilov, N. P. Tozman, Approximation in Morrey-Smirnov classes, *Azerbaijan J. Math.* **1** (2011), no 2, 99-113.
- [26] M. Izuki, E. Nakai, Y. Sawano, Function spaces with variable exponent -an introduction-, *Sci. Math. Jpn.* **77** (2014), no 2, 187-305.
- [27] S. Z. Jafarov, On moduli of smoothness an approximation by trigonometric polynomials in weighted Lorentz spaces, *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **45** (2016), 1675-1584.
- [28] S. Z. Jafarov, Approximation by Rational Functions in Smirnov-Orlicz Classes, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **379** (2011) 870-877.
- [29] S. Z. Jafarov, J. I. Mamedkhanov, On approximation by trigonometric polynomials in Orlicz spaces, *Georgian Math. J.* **19** (2012), 687-695.
- [30] V. Kokilashvili, On analytic functions of Smirnov-Orlicz classes, *Studia Mathematica* **31** (1968), 43-59.
- [31] V. Kokilashvili, A. Meskhi, Boundedness of maximal and singular operators in Morrey spaces with variable exponent, *Armen. J. Math.* **1** (2008),no 1, 18-28.



- [32] A. Kufner, O. John, S. Fucik, *Function Spaces*, Noordhoff International Publishing, London 1977, 454 p.
- [33] N. X. Ky, On approximation by trigonometric polynomials in  $L_u^p$ -spaces, *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.* **28** (1993), 183-188.
- [34] A. Kinj, M. Ali and S. Mahmoud, Approximation properties of de la Vallée- Poussin sums in Morrey spaces, *SQU J. Sci.* **22** (2017), no 2, 89-95.
- [35] A. L. Mazzucato, Decomposition of Besov- Morrey spaces, In: Proceedings of the Conference on Harmonic Analysis, in : *Contemp. Math.* Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, **320** (2003), 279-294.
- [36] I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a Real Variable*, Moscow-Leningrad, 1974 (in Russian).
- [37] H. Raferio N. Samko, S. Samko, Morrey- Campanato spaces: an overview, (English summary), *Operator theory, pseudo-differential equations, and mathematical physics, Oper. Theory Adv. Appl.* **228** (2013), 293-323.
- [38] G.I. Sunouchi, Derivatives of polynomials of best approximation, *Jahresbericht d. DMV Bd. 70* (1968), 165-166.
- [39] S. B. Stechkin, On the order of the best approximations of continuous functions, *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem.*, 15 (1951), no 3, 219-242.
- [40] N. Samko, Weighted Hardy and singular operators in Morrey spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **350** (2009), no 1, 56-72.
- [41] R. Taberski, Differences, moduli and derivatives of fractional orders, *Ann. Soc. Math. Polon. Comm. Prace Mat.* **19** (1977), no 2, 389-400.
- [42] A. F. Timan, *Theory of Approximation of Functions of Real Variable*, English Translation 1963. Pergamon Press, Oxford (1960). (Russian original published in Moscow by Fizmatgiz, 1960)
- [43] M. F. Timan, Converse theorems of the constructive theory of functions in the  $L_p$ , *Matem. Sb.*, 46 (1958), no 1, 125-132 (in Russian).
- [44] M. E. Taylor, *Tools for PDE*, volume 81 of Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, Pseudodifferential Operators, Paradifferential Operators and Layer Potentials, American Mathematical Society, Providence 2000.
- [45] C. Yemin, Regularity of the solition to the Dirichlet problem in Morrey spaces, *J. Partial Diff. Eqs.* **15** (2002) 37-46.

Sadulla Z. Jafarov

*Department of Mathematics and Science Education, Faculty of Education, Muş Alparslan University, 49250, Muş, Turkey*

*Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, 9 B. Vahabzadeh str., AZ 1141, Baku, Azerbaijan*

E-mail address: [s.jafarov@alparslan.edu.tr](mailto:s.jafarov@alparslan.edu.tr)

Received: December 12, 2018; Revised: March 25, 2019; Accepted: March 28, 2019