

ON FREDHOLM PROPERTY OF A BOUNDARY VALUE PROBLEM FOR THIRD ORDER OPERATOR-DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

SEADAT B. HEYDAROVA

Abstract. In the paper we obtain conditions of Fredholm property for third order operator-differential equations in a finite domain. All conditions were expressed by the coefficients of the given equation.

1. Introduction

Let H be Hilbert space, A be a positive definite self-adjoint operator in H . Obviously, the domain of definition of the operator A^γ ($\gamma \geq 0$) - $D(A^\gamma)$ becomes a Hilbert space H_γ with respect to the scalar product $(x, y)_\gamma = (A^\gamma x, A^\gamma y)$. We consider $H_0 = H$.

Denote by $L_2((0, 1) : H)$ a Hilbert space of functions $f(t)$ determined on the interval $(0, 1)$ with the values in H , moreover

$$\|f\|_{L_2((0;1);H)} = \left(\int_0^1 \|f(t)\|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We introduce the Hilbert space

$$W_2^3((0, 1) : H) = \{u, u''' \in L_2((0, 1) : H), A^3 u \in L^2((0, 1) : H)\}$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)} = \left(\|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \|A^3 u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

From the theorem on traces [3, Theorem 3.1] it follows that

$$\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H) = \{u : u \in W_2^3((0, 1) : H), u(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0, u''(1) = 0\}$$

is a complete subspace of the space $W_2^3((0, 1) : H)$.

Note that here and in the sequel, the derivatives are understood in the sense of distribution theory [3, p.14,15]. The space $W_2^3(R, H)$ is defined in the same way, where $R = (-\infty, +\infty)$.

From the theorem on intermediate derivatives and on traces [3, Theorem 2.3, Theorem 3.1], it follows that, if $u \in W_2^3((0, 1) : H)$, then $A^{3-k} u^{(k)} \in L_2((0, 1) :$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 39B42, 46C05, 34K10.

Key words and phrases. Hilbert space, operator-differential equation, boundary-value problem, linear operator.

H), $u^{(k)}(0) \in H_{3-k-\frac{1}{2}}$, $u^{(k)}(1) \in H_{3-k-\frac{1}{2}}$ ($k = 0, 1, 2$) and $\|A^{3-k}u^{(k)}\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq \text{const}\|u\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)}$, $\|u^{(k)}(0)\|_{3-k-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \text{const}\|u\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)}$, $\|u^{(k)}(1)\|_{3-k-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \text{const}\|u\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)}$.

It is known that $e^{-At}\varphi \in W_2^3((0, 1) : H)$, if and only if $\varphi \in H_{\frac{5}{2}}$, where e^{-At} is a semigroup of bounded operators generated by the operator $(-A)$.

In the space $W_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ consider the following operator

$$Lu = u''' + A^3u + \sum_{j=0}^2 (A_{3-j} + T_{3-j})u^{(j)}$$

where the coefficients of the operator L satisfy the conditions:

- (1) A is a positive-definite self-adjoint operator with completely continuous inverse: A^{-1} ;
- (2) The operators $B_j = A_jA^{-j}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) are bounded in H ;
- (3) The operators $K_j = T_jA^{-j}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) are completely continuous in H .

Obviously, from the theorem on intermediate derivatives it follows that, subject to the conditions 1)-3), L is a bounded operator acting from $W_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ to $L_2((0, 1) : H)$.

In this paper, we find some sufficient conditions that provide Fredholm property of the operator L , i.e., $\dim Ker L = \text{codim } ImL < \infty$ and ImL is a closed set in $L_2((0, 1) : H)$.

Then we apply this theorem on Fredholm solvability to the boundary value problem

$$u'''(t) + A^3u(t) + \sum_{j=0}^2 (A_{3-j} + T_{3-j})u^{(j)}(t) = f(t), \quad t \in (0, 1) \tag{1.1}$$

$$u(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0, u''(1) = 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Note that, unique solvability of the problem of type (1.1),(1.2) was studied in [8] for $A_j = T_j = 0$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ and for $T_j = 0$ in [1,2,4].

For second order operator-differential equations the unique solvability of the problem was considered for example in [5,6,7].

2. Some results

In the space $W_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ we determine the operators

$$P_0u = u''' + A^3u, \quad P_1u = \sum_{j=0}^2 A_{3-j}u^{(j)}, \quad Tu = \sum_{j=0}^2 T_{3-j}u^{(j)}.$$

The operator

$$Lu = P_0u + P_1u + Tu \tag{2.1}$$

from the space $W_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ to $L_2((0, 1) : H)$ is bounded. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1. *The operator P_0 isomorphically maps the space $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ onto $L_2((0, 1) : H)$.*

Proof. First we show that $Ker P_0 = \{0\}$. Indeed, for $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_0 u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 &= \|u''' + A^3 u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 = \\ &= \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \|A^3 u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + 2Re(u''', A^3 u)_{L_2((0,1):H)}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

Since for $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ by integration by parts we get

$$(u''', A^3 u)_{L_2((0,1):H)} = \|A^{3/2} u'(0)\|^2 - (A^3 u, u''')_{L_2((0,1):H)},$$

we have $2Re(u''', A^3 u)_{L_2((0,1):H)} = \|A^{3/2} u'(0)\|^2$. Then taking into account this equality in equality (2.2), we have

$$\|P_0 u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 = \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \|A^3 u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \|u'(0)\|_{\frac{3}{2}}^2. \tag{2.3}$$

From the condition $P_0 u = 0$ it follows that $u = 0$, i.e. $Ker P_0 = \{0\}$. We now prove that $Im P_0 = L_2((0, 1) : H)$, i.e. the equation $P_0 u = f$ has a solution for any $f \in L_2((0, 1) : H)$. Let $f_1(t) = f(t)$ $t \in (0, 1)$ and $f_1(t) = 0$ for $R \setminus (0, 1)$. Then $f_1(t) \in L_2(R : H)$ and $\|f_1\|_{L_2(R:H)} = \|f\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}$. It is easy to see that,

$$u_1(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} ((i\xi)^3 + A^3)^{-1} \hat{f}_1(\xi) e^{i\xi t} d\xi,$$

where $\hat{f}_1(\xi)$ is a Fourier transformation of the function $f_1(t)$ and satisfies the equation $u'''(t) + A^3 u(t) = f_1(t)$ almost everywhere in $R = (-\infty, \infty)$. On the other hand, from the Plancherel theorem it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_1(t)\|_{\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3(R:H)}^2 &= \|u_1'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \|A^3 u_1\|_{L_2(R:H)}^2 = \|\xi^3 \hat{u}_1(\xi)\|_{L_2(R:H)}^2 + \\ &+ \|A^3 \hat{u}_1(\xi)\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 = \|\xi^3 ((i\xi)^3 + A^3)^{-1} f_1(\xi)\|_{L_2(R)}^2 + \|A^3 ((i\xi)^3 + A^3)^{-1} \hat{f}_1(\xi)\|_{L_2(R)}^2 \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sup_{\xi \in R} \|\xi^3 ((i\xi)^3 + A^3)^{-1}\|^2 + \sup_{\xi \in R} \|A^3 ((i\xi)^3 + A^3)^{-1}\|^2 \right) \cdot \|\hat{f}_1(\xi)\|_{L_2(R:H)}^2 = \\ &= const \|f\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the fact that if the operator A is a self-adjoint positive-definite operator, then for any $\xi \in R$

$$\|\xi^3 ((i\xi)^3 + A^3)^{-1}\| = \sup_{\mu \in \sigma(A)} |\xi^3 ((i\xi)^3 + \mu^3)^{-1}| \leq 1$$

and

$$\|A^3 ((i\xi)^3 + A^3)^{-1}\| = \sup_{\mu \in \sigma(A)} |\mu^3 ((i\xi)^3 + \mu^3)^{-1}| \leq 1.$$

Let us denote by $\alpha(t) = u_1(t)$ for $t \in [0, 1]$ and $\alpha(t) = 0$ for $t \in R \setminus [0, 1]$.

Obviously, $\alpha(t)$ satisfies the equation $u'''(t) + A^3 u(t) = f(t)$ almost everywhere in $(0, 1)$ and $\alpha(t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$. Then by the theorem on traces, $\alpha^{(k)}(0) \in$

$H_{3-k-\frac{1}{2}}, \alpha^{(k)}(1) \in H_{3-k-\frac{1}{2}} (k = 0, 1, 2)$. Now we will look for the solution of the equation $P_0u = f$ in the form

$$u(t) = \alpha(t) + e^{-tA}x_1 + e^{\omega(1-t)A}x_2 + e^{\bar{\omega}(1-t)A}x_3,$$

where x_1, x_2 and $x_3 \in H_{\frac{5}{2}}$ are unknown vectors, $\omega = -\frac{1}{2} - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}i$. From the condition $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$, it follows that

$$x_1 + e^{\omega A}x_2 + e^{\bar{\omega}A}x_3 = -\alpha(0), \quad e^{-A}x_1 + \omega x_2 + \bar{\omega}x_3 = A^{-1}\alpha'(1),$$

$$e^{-A}x_1 + \omega^2x_2 + \bar{\omega}^2x_3 = -A^{-2}\alpha''(1).$$

Taking into account that the vectors $\alpha(0), A^{-1}\alpha'(1), -A^{-2}\alpha''(1) \in H_{\frac{5}{2}}$, then solving this system we get x_1, x_2 and $x_3 \in H_{\frac{5}{2}}$, i.e. $u(t) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ Consequently, $ImP_0 = L_2((0, 1) : H)$. The theorem is proved. \square

From this theorem and theorem on intermediate derivatives it follows that the following norms

$$N_j = \sup_{\substack{u \neq 0, \\ u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0,1):H)}} \|A^{3-j}u^{(j)}\| \cdot \|P_0^{-1}u\|^{-1} \quad j = 0, 1, 2; \tag{2.4}$$

are finite since the norms $\|u\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)}$ and $\|P_0^{-1}u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}$ are equivalent in $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$. Next we prove the following result

Theorem 2.2. *We have the following estimations:*

$$N_0 \leq 1; \quad N_1 \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{4}}, \quad N_2 \leq 2 \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{2.5}$$

Proof. From equality (2.3) it follows that $N_0 \leq 1$. Prove that $N_2 \leq 2 \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Obviously, for $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ ($u(0) = 0, u'(1) = 0, u''(1) = 0$).

$$\|A^2u'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 = (A^2u', A^2u')_{L_2((0,1):H)} = -(A^3u, Au'')_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq$$

$$\leq \|A^3u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \cdot \|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be any positive number. Then for any $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ we have the equality

$$\|\varepsilon u''' + Au'' + \frac{1}{\varepsilon}Au'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 = \varepsilon^2\|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2}\|Au'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + 2\varepsilon Re(u''', A^2u')_{L_2((0,1):H)} + 2Re(u''', Au')_{L_2((0,1):H)} +$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \cdot 2Re(Au'', A^2u')_{L_2((0,1):H)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Integrating by parts, we have :

$$(u''', Au'')_{L_2((0,1):H)} = -\|u''(0)\|^2 - (Au'', u''')$$

i.e.

$$2\varepsilon Re(u''', Au')_{L_2((0,1):H)} = -\varepsilon\|u''(0)\|_{\frac{1}{2}}^2. \tag{2.8}$$

In the same way we have

$$2 \cdot \frac{1}{\varepsilon} (Au'', A^2u')_{L_2((0,1):H)} = -\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \|u'(0)\|_{\frac{3}{2}}^2. \tag{2.9}$$

Taking into account the equalities (2.8),(2.9), from equality (2.7) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\varepsilon u''' + Au'' + \frac{1}{\varepsilon} Au'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 = \varepsilon^2 \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \\ & + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|Au'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 - \|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 - \|\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{1}{2}} u''(0) + \varepsilon^{-\frac{1}{2}} A^{\frac{3}{2}} u'(0)\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \frac{1}{\varepsilon^2} \|A^2u'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2.$$

Assuming $\varepsilon = \|A^2u'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, we get

$$\|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \leq 2 \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \cdot \|A^2u'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2. \tag{2.10}$$

Now, substituting the inequality (2.6) in (2.10), we get

$$\|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \leq 2 \cdot \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \cdot \|A^3u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^{1/2} \cdot \|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^{1/2}$$

i.e.

$$\|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq 2^{\frac{2}{3}} \|A^3u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^{\frac{2}{3}}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Au''\|^2 & \leq 2^{\frac{4}{3}} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{\eta^2} \|A^3u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \left(\tau \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \right)^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq \\ & \leq 2^{\frac{4}{3}} \left(\frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{\eta^2} \|A^3u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 + \frac{2}{3} \tau \|u'''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

for $\tau > 0$.

Assuming $\eta = 2^{-\frac{1}{3}}$, we have

$$\|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \leq \frac{4}{3} \|u\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)}^2.$$

Consequently,

$$\|Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq 2 \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{2}} \|u\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)}.$$

Now, substituting the inequality in (2.6), we get

$$\|A^2u'\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{4}} \|P_0u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}.$$

i.e.

$$N_1 \leq 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{4}}; \quad N_2 \leq 2 \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The Theorem is proved. □

3. Main results

We now prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let conditions 1) and 2) be fulfilled, moreover the operator $B_j = A_j A^{-j}$ ($j = 1, 2, 3$) satisfy the condition*

$$q = \alpha_0 \|B_3\| + \alpha_1 \|B_2\| + \alpha_2 \|B_1\| < 1, \tag{3.1}$$

where $\alpha_0 = 1$, $\alpha_1 = 2^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{4}}$, $\alpha_2 = 2 \cdot 3^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Then the operator $P_0 + P_1$ isomorphically maps the space $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ onto $L_2((0, 1) : H)$.

Proof. Since P_0 is an isomorphism, after substitution of $P_0 u = v$ we can write the equation $(P_0 + P_1)u = f$ in the form $v + P_1 P_0^{-1} v = f$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1 P_0^{-1} v\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} &= \|P_1 u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq \sum_{j=0}^2 \|A_{3-j} u^{(j)}\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^2 \|A_{3-j} A^{-3+j}\| \|A^{3-j} u^{(j)}\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}, \end{aligned}$$

taking into account the result of theorem 2.2, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_1 P_0^{-1} v\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} &\leq \sum_{j=0}^2 \|B_{3-j}\| \cdot N_j \cdot \|P_0 u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{j=0}^2 \alpha_j \|B_{3-j}\| \cdot \|v\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} = q \|v\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $q < 1$, the operator $E + P_1 P_0^{-1}$ is invertible in the space $L_2((0, 1) : H)$. Then the operator $(P_0 + P_1)$ is invertible in $L_2((0, 1) : H)$ and $(P_0 + P_1)^{-1} = P_0^{-1}(E + P_1 P_0^{-1})^{-1}$. Hence it follows that $\|u\|_{\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0,1):H)} \leq \text{const} \|f\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}$.

The theorem is proved. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let conditions 1) and 3) be fulfilled. Then the operator T is a completely continuous operator from the space $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ to $L_2((0, 1) : H)$.*

Proof. Since for $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$

$$Tu = T_2 u' + T_1 u'' + T_3 u = K_2 A^2 u' + K_1 A u'' + K_0 A^3 u,$$

where K_0, K_1 and K_2 are completely continuous operators, then it suffices to prove that $K_0 A^3, K_2 A^2 \frac{d}{dt}$ and $K_1 A \frac{d^2}{dt^2}$ are completely continuous operators from $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3((0, 1) : H)$ to $L_2((0, 1) : H)$. For definiteness we consider the operator $K_1 A \frac{d^2}{dt^2}$. Since K_1 is a completely continuous operator, we can represent it in the form of a finite-dimensional operator and an operator with sufficiently small norm $K_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n (\cdot, x_i) y_i + K_1'$: $\|K_1'\| < \varepsilon$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Obviously, if e_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots$) is an orthonormal basis of the system of eigen-vectors of the

operator A , (i.e. $Ae_k = \lambda_k e_k$) then, $x_i = \sum_{p=1}^n c_{p_i} e_i + x_i(\varepsilon)$, moreover $\|x_i(\varepsilon)\| \leq \varepsilon$.

Then for one - dimensional operator $K_{1,i} = (, e_i)y_i$ we have

$$\|K_{1,i}Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} = \lambda_k \|y_i\| \cdot |(u''(t), e_k)|_{L_2((0,1))}.$$

Since for the function $\psi(t) = (u(t), e_k)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\psi''(t)\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 &= \int_0^1 (\psi''(t), \bar{\psi}''(t))dt = \psi'(t) \cdot \bar{\psi}''(t)|_0^1 - (\psi'(t) \cdot \bar{\psi}'''(t))_{L_2((0,1))} = \\ &= (\psi'(t) \cdot \bar{\psi}'''(t))_{L_2((0,1))} \leq \|\psi'(t)\| \|\psi'''(t)\| \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|\psi'(t)\|^2 = \int_0^1 (\psi'(t), \bar{\psi}'(t))dt = \psi(t) \cdot \psi'(t)|_0^1 - (\psi(t), \psi''(t))_{L_2} \leq \|\psi(t)\| \cdot \|\psi''(t)\|,$$

we obtain

$$\|\psi'(t)\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}^2 \leq \|\psi(t)\| \cdot \|\psi'(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \|\psi'''(t)\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

i.e.

$$\|\psi'(t)\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq \|\psi'''(t)\|^{\frac{1}{3}} \cdot \|\psi(t)\|^{\frac{2}{3}} \leq \varepsilon \|\psi'''(t)\| + M(\varepsilon) \|\psi(t)\|_{L_2((0,1))}.$$

Thus,

$$\|K_{1,i}Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq \varepsilon \|\psi\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)} + M(\varepsilon) \|\psi\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}. \tag{3.2}$$

Now, we can show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$

$$\|K_{1,i}Au''\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq \varepsilon \|u\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)} + M(\varepsilon) \|u\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}.$$

Since the embedding $W_2^3((0,1) : H) \subset L_2((0,1) : H)$ is compact, $K_{1,i}A \frac{d^2}{dt^2}$ is a compact operator. Indeed, if $\{u_k\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset W_2^3((0,1) : H)$ and $\|u_k\|_{W_2^3((0,1):H)} \leq M$, then there exists a sequence $u_{k,n} \subset \{u_k\}$, moreover, $u_{k,n} \rightarrow u_0$ in $L_2((0,1) : H)$. Then from inequality 3.2 it follows that

$$\|K_{1,i}A(u_n'' - u_m'')\|_{L_2((0,1):H)} \leq 2\varepsilon \cdot M + M(\varepsilon) \|u_n - u_m\|_{L_2((0,1):H)}.$$

Since as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$ $\|u_n - u_m\| \rightarrow 0$, and ε is any positive number, we conclude that the sequence $\{K_{1,i}Au_n''\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges in $L_2((0,1) : H)$ i.e. the operator $K_{1,i}$ is a compact operator. Consequently, the operator $K_{1,i}A \frac{d^2}{dt^2}$ is a Fredholm operator from the space $W_2^3((0,1) : H)$ to $L_2((0,1) : H)$.

The theorem has been proved. □

We now prove the basic theorem.

Theorem 3.3. *Let conditions 1)-3) and (3.1) be fulfilled. Then $L : W_2^3((0,1) : H) \rightarrow L_2((0,1) : H)$ is a Fredholm operator.*

Proof. Obviously, $L = P_0 + P_1 + T = (E + T(P_0 + P_1)^{-1})(P_0 + P_1)$.

Since the operator $(P_0 + P_1)$ is an isomorphism, $T(P_0 + P_1)^{-1}$ is a compact operator, then L is a Fredholm operator.

Corollary 3.1. *Let the conditions of theorem 3.3 be fulfilled. Then problem (1.1),(1.2) is Fredholm solvable.*

□

References

- [1] S. B. Heydarova, On a periodic type boundary value problem for a third order equation in Hilbert space, *Trans. of National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan, Series of Physical-Technical and Mathematical Sciences* **35**(1) (2015), 42–46.
- [2] S. B. Heydarova, On well defined solvability of a boundary value problem for a third order equation in Hilbert space, *Izvestia Pedagogicheskovo Universiteta estestv. Nauki.* (2) (2016) 52–60.
- [3] J. L. Lions, E. Magenes, Inhomogeneous boundary value problems and their applications. *M. Mir*, 371 p. (1971), Russian.
- [4] S. S. Mirzoyev, S. B. Heydarova, On a boundary-value problem for third order operator-differential equations on a finite interval *Applied Mathematical Sciences* **10** (11) (2016), 543–548, <http://dx.doi.org/10.12988/ams.2016.512743>.
- [5] S. S. Mirzoev, M. D. Karaaslan, R. Z. Gumbataliev, To the theory of operator-differential equations of the second order, *Doklady Akademii Nauk* **453** (6) (2013), 610–612.
- [6] S.S.Mirzoyev, M.Yu.Salimov, On complete elementary solutions of a class of second order operator-differential equations, *Sibirskii Matem. Zhurnal* **51** (4) (2010), 815–828, Russian.
- [7] S.S. Mirzoyev, M.Yu. Salimov, On solvability of a boundary value problem for second order equation with operator coefficients in the boundary condition, *Matem. zametki*, **91**(6) (2012), 861-864, Russian.
- [8] E. Obrecht, Un problema ai limiti ben posto per lequazione differenziale $u''' = Au + f$ in uno spazio di Banach. *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova* **55** (1976), 243–274.

Seadat B. Heydarova

Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics NAS of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan

E-mail address: seadet1987heyderova@mail.ru

Received: November 20, 2019; Revised: March 12, 2020; Accepted: April 16, 2020