

ON A SPECIAL CLASS OF SEMI-COTANGENT BUNDLE

FURKAN YILDIRIM

Abstract. We define a semi-cotangent (pull-back) bundle t^*M of cotangent bundle T^*M by using projection (submersion) of the tangent bundle TM . The main purpose of this paper is to investigate complete lift of vector and affiner (tensor of type (1,1)) fields for semi-cotangent (pull-back) bundle t^*M .

1. Introduction

The semi-cotangent (pull-back) bundles of fiber bundles are considered in [8], where it is proved that they admit a degenerate symplectic structure. In [8], a lift problems for a projectable tensor fields are also studied. But the projectable tensor field is not explicit form on any fiber bundle. Therefore, we can not study some properties of fiber components of lifts in the semi-cotangent bundle. For this reason, by putting the tangent bundle instead of the fiber bundle we introduce a new class of semi-cotangent bundle, which has explicit formulas for a projectable tensor fields. For example, complete lift of tensor fields as a projectable vector fields on the tangent bundle have explicit formulas (see, for details [7]).

Let M_n be an n -dimensional differentiable manifold of class C^∞ and $T(M_n)$ the tangent bundle determined by a natural projection (submersion) $\pi_1 : T(M_n) \rightarrow M_n$. We use the notation $(x^i) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha)$, where the indices i, j, \dots run from 1 to $2n$, the indices α, β, \dots from 1 to n and the indices $\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta}, \dots$ from $n + 1$ to $2n$, x^α are coordinates in M_n , $x^{\bar{\alpha}} = y^\alpha$ are fibre coordinates of the tangent bundle $T(M_n)$. If $(x^{i'}) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}'}, x^{\alpha'})$ is another system of local adapted coordinates in the tangent bundle $T(M_n)$, then we have

$$\begin{cases} x^{\bar{\alpha}'} = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^{\bar{\alpha}}} y^{\bar{\alpha}}, \\ x^{\alpha'} = x^{\alpha'}(x^{\bar{\alpha}}). \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

The Jacobian of (1.1) has components

$$(A_j^{i'}) = \left(\frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^j} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} A_\beta^{\alpha'} & A_{\beta\varepsilon}^{\alpha'} y^\varepsilon \\ 0 & A_\beta^{\alpha'} \end{pmatrix},$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A45, 53C55, 55R10.

Key words and phrases. Vector field, Complete lift, Pull-back bundle, Tangent bundle, Semi-cotangent bundle.

where $A_{\beta}^{\alpha'} = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^{\beta}}$, $A_{\beta\varepsilon}^{\alpha'} = \frac{\partial^2 x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\varepsilon}}$. Let $T_x^*(M_n)(x = \pi_1(\tilde{x}), \tilde{x} = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^{\alpha}) \in T(M_n))$ be the cotangent space at a point x of M_n . If p_{α} are components of $p \in T_x^*(M_n)$ with respect to the natural coframe $\{dx^{\alpha}\}$, i.e. $p = p_i dx^i$, then by definition the set $t^*(M_n)$ of all points $(x^I) = (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^{\alpha}, x^{\bar{\alpha}})$, $x^{\bar{\alpha}} = p_{\alpha}$; $I, J, \dots = 1, \dots, 3n$ with projection $\pi_2 : t^*(M_n) \rightarrow T(M_n)$ (i.e. $\pi_2 : (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^{\alpha}, x^{\bar{\alpha}}) \rightarrow (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^{\alpha})$) is a semi-cotangent (pull-back [8]) bundle of the cotangent bundle by submersion $\pi_1 : T(M_n) \rightarrow M_n$ (For definition of the pull-back bundle, see for example [1], [2], [4], [6]). It is remarkable fact that the semi-cotangent (pull-back) bundle has a degenerate symplectic structure [8]

$$\omega = (\omega_{AB}) = dp = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta_{\beta}^{\alpha} \\ 0 & \delta_{\alpha}^{\beta} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is clear that the pull-back bundle $t^*(M_n)$ of the cotangent bundle $T^*(M_n)$ also has the natural bundle structure over M_n , its bundle projection $\pi : t^*(M_n) \rightarrow M_n$ being defined by $\pi : (x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^{\alpha}, x^{\bar{\alpha}}) \rightarrow (x^{\alpha})$, and hence $\pi = \pi_1 \circ \pi_2$. Thus $(t^*(M_n), \pi_1 \circ \pi_2)$ is the composite bundle [[5], p.9] or step-like bundle [3].

The main purpose of the present paper is to study complete lift of vector fields and tensor fields of type (1,1) from tangent bundle $T(M_n)$ to semi-cotangent (pull-back) bundle $(t^*(M_n), \pi_2)$.

2. Complete Lift of Vector Fields

We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_q^p(T(M_n))$ and $\mathfrak{S}_q^p(M_n)$ the modules over $F(T(M_n))$ and $F(M_n)$ of all tensor fields of type (p, q) on $T(M_n)$ and M_n respectively, where $F(T(M_n))$ and $F(M_n)$ denote the rings of real-valued C^{∞} -functions on $T(M_n)$ and M_n , respectively.

To a transformation (1.1) of local coordinates of $T(M_n)$, there corresponds on $t^*(M_n)$ the coordinate transformation

$$\begin{cases} x^{\bar{\alpha}'} = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^{\beta}} y^{\beta}, \\ x^{\alpha'} = x^{\alpha'}(x^{\beta}), \\ x^{\bar{\alpha}'} = \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\alpha'}} p_{\beta}. \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

The Jacobian of (2.1) is given by

$$\bar{A} = (A_J^{I'}) = \begin{pmatrix} A_{\beta}^{\alpha'} & A_{\beta\varepsilon}^{\alpha'} y^{\varepsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & A_{\beta}^{\alpha'} & 0 \\ 0 & p_{\alpha} A_{\beta}^{\beta'} & A_{\beta'\alpha'}^{\alpha} & A_{\alpha'}^{\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$A_{\beta}^{\alpha'} = \frac{\partial x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^{\beta}}, \quad A_{\alpha'}^{\beta} = \frac{\partial x^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\alpha'}}, \quad A_{\beta\varepsilon}^{\alpha'} = \frac{\partial^2 x^{\alpha'}}{\partial x^{\beta} \partial x^{\varepsilon}}, \quad A_{\beta'\alpha'}^{\alpha} = \frac{\partial^2 x^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\beta'} \partial x^{\alpha'}}.$$

It is easily verified that the condition $\text{Det } \bar{A} \neq 0$ is equivalent to the condition:

$$\text{Det}(A_{\beta}^{\alpha'}) \neq 0.$$

Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$, i.e. $X = X^\alpha \partial_\alpha$. The complete lift ${}^c X$ of X to tangent bundle is defined by ${}^c X = X^\alpha \partial_\alpha + (y^\beta \partial_\beta X^\alpha) \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}$ [[7], p.15]. On putting

$${}^{cc} X = ({}^{cc} X^\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^\alpha \\ X^\alpha \\ -p_\varepsilon (\partial_\alpha X^\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.3)$$

from (2.2), we easily see that ${}^{cc} X' = \bar{A}({}^{cc} X)$. The vector field ${}^{cc} X$ is called the complete lift of ${}^c X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$ to $t^*(M_n)$.

Now, consider $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$ and $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, then ${}^{vv} \omega$ (vertical lift) and $\gamma F \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(t^*(M_n))$ have respectively, components on the semi-cotangent bundle $t^*(M_n)$ [8]

$${}^{vv} \omega = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_\alpha \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma F = (\gamma F^I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\beta F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.4)$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}})$, where ω_α and F_α^β are local components of ω and F .

For $T \in \mathfrak{S}_2^1(M_n)$, we can define an affinor field $\gamma T \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(t^*(M_n))$ [8]:

$$\gamma T = ((\gamma T)_J^I) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_\varepsilon T_{\beta\alpha}^\varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $T_{\beta\alpha}^\varepsilon$ are local components of T in M_n .

On the other hand, ${}^{vv} f$ the vertical lift of function f on $t^*(M_n)$ is defined by [8]:

$${}^{vv} f = {}^v f \circ \pi_2 = f \circ \pi_1 \circ \pi_2 = f \circ \pi. \quad (2.6)$$

Theorem 2.1. For any vector fields X, Y on $T(M_n)$ and $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^0(M_n)$, we have

- (i) ${}^{cc}(X + Y) = {}^{cc} X + {}^{cc} Y$,
- (ii) ${}^{cc} X {}^{vv} f = {}^{vv} (Xf)$.

Proof. (i) This immediately follows from (2.3).

(ii) Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$. Then we get by (2.3) and (2.6):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc} X {}^{vv} f &= {}^{cc} X^I \partial_I {}^{vv} f \\ &= {}^{cc} X^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv} f + {}^{cc} X^\alpha \partial_\alpha {}^{vv} f + {}^{cc} X^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} {}^{vv} f \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha {}^{vv} f = {}^{vv} (Xf), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (ii) of Theorem 2.1. □

Theorem 2.2. Let $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$. For the Lie product, we have

- (i) $[{}^{cc} X, {}^{cc} Y] = {}^{cc} [X, Y]$ (i.e. $L_{{}^{cc} X}({}^{cc} Y) = {}^{cc} (L_X Y)$),
- (ii) $[{}^{cc} X, {}^{vv} \omega] = {}^{vv} (L_X \omega)$,
- (iii) $[{}^{cc} X, \gamma F] = \gamma (L_X F)$

for any $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$ and $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, where L_X the operator of Lie derivation with respect to X .

Proof. (i) If $X, Y \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [{}^{cc}X, {}^{cc}Y]^{\bar{\beta}} \\ [{}^{cc}X, {}^{cc}Y]^{\beta} \\ [{}^{cc}X, {}^{cc}Y]^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[{}^{cc}X, {}^{cc}Y]$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^{\beta}, x^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$, then we have

$$[{}^{cc}X, {}^{cc}Y]^J = ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}Y)^J - ({}^{cc}Y)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^J.$$

Firstly, if $J = \bar{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, {}^{cc}Y]^{\bar{\beta}} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{cc}Y)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &\quad - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= y^{\varepsilon} \partial_{\varepsilon} X^{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^{\sigma} \partial_{\sigma} Y^{\beta} + X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} (y^{\varepsilon} \partial_{\varepsilon} Y^{\beta}) \\ &\quad - y^{\varepsilon} \partial_{\varepsilon} Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^{\sigma} \partial_{\sigma} X^{\beta} - Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} (y^{\varepsilon} \partial_{\varepsilon} X^{\beta}) \\ &= y^{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\varepsilon} X^{\sigma}) (\partial_{\sigma} Y^{\beta}) + y^{\varepsilon} X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\varepsilon} Y^{\beta} \\ &\quad - y^{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\varepsilon} Y^{\sigma}) (\partial_{\sigma} X^{\beta}) - y^{\varepsilon} Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\varepsilon} X^{\beta} \\ &= y^{\varepsilon} \partial_{\varepsilon} [X, Y]^{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, {}^{cc}Y]^{\beta} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}Y)^{\beta} - ({}^{cc}Y)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\beta} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\beta} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\beta} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\beta} \\ &\quad - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\beta} - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}X)^{\beta} - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\beta} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\beta} - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}X)^{\beta} \\ &= X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} Y^{\beta} - Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\beta} \\ &= [X, Y]^{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3). Thirdly, if $J = \bar{\bar{\beta}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, {}^{cc}Y]^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - ({}^{cc}Y)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &\quad - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - ({}^{cc}Y)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= -X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\beta} Y^{\varepsilon}) + p_{\varepsilon} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\beta} Y^{\alpha}) \\ &\quad + Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\beta} X^{\varepsilon}) - p_{\varepsilon} \partial_{\alpha} Y^{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\beta} X^{\alpha}) \\ &= p_{\varepsilon} (-X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} Y^{\varepsilon} + \partial_{\beta} Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\varepsilon} \\ &\quad + Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} X^{\varepsilon} - \partial_{\beta} X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} Y^{\varepsilon}) \\ &= -p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\beta} (X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} Y^{\varepsilon} - Y^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\varepsilon})) \\ &= -p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\beta} [X, Y]^{\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3). On the other hand, we know that ${}^{cc}[X, Y]$ have components

$${}^{cc}[X, Y] = \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon [X, Y]^\beta \\ [X, Y]^\beta \\ -p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta [X, Y]^\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$. Thus, we have (i) of Theorem 2.2.

(ii) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [{}^{cc}X, {}^{vv}\omega]^\beta \\ [{}^{cc}X, {}^{vv}\omega]^\beta \\ [{}^{cc}X, {}^{vv}\omega]^\beta \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[{}^{cc}X, {}^{vv}\omega]$ with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$, then we have

$$[{}^{cc}X, {}^{vv}\omega]^J = ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^J - ({}^{vv}\omega)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^J.$$

Firstly, if $J = \bar{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, {}^{vv}\omega]^{\bar{\beta}} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= -({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= -({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^\beta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (2.4). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, {}^{vv}\omega]^\beta &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^\beta - ({}^{vv}\omega)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^\beta \\ &= -({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^\beta - ({}^{vv}\omega)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}X)^\beta - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^\beta \\ &= -({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} X^\beta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (2.4). Thirdly, if $J = \bar{\bar{\beta}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, {}^{vv}\omega]^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &\quad - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - ({}^{vv}\omega)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \omega_\beta + \omega_\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha \omega_\beta + (\partial_\beta X^\alpha) \omega_\alpha \\ &= (L_X \omega)_\beta \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (2.4). On the other hand, we know that the vertical lift ${}^{vv}(L_X \omega)$ of $(L_X \omega)$ has components of the form

$${}^{vv}(L_X \omega) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (L_X \omega)_\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$. Thus, we have (ii) of Theorem 2.2.

(iii) We shall prove the last equation. If $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [{}^{cc}X, \gamma F]^{\bar{\beta}} \\ [{}^{cc}X, \gamma F]^{\beta} \\ [{}^{cc}X, \gamma F]^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[{}^{cc}X, \gamma F]$ with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^{\beta}, x^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$, then we have

$$[{}^{cc}X, \gamma F]^J = ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^J - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^J.$$

Firstly, if $J = \bar{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, \gamma F]^{\bar{\beta}} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= -(\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\gamma F)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (2.4). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, \gamma F]^{\beta} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^{\beta} - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\beta} \\ &= -(\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} X^{\beta} - (\gamma F)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\beta} - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} X^{\beta} \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (2.4). Thirdly, if $J = \bar{\bar{\beta}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, \gamma F]^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I (\gamma F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - (\gamma F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} (\gamma F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\gamma F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &\quad - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - (\gamma F)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - (\gamma F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= y^{\varepsilon} \partial_{\varepsilon} X^{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_{\varepsilon} F_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} + X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} p_{\varepsilon} F_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} \\ &\quad - p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\alpha} X^{\varepsilon}) \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_{\varepsilon} F_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} + p_{\varepsilon} F_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\beta} X^{\varepsilon}) \\ &= X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} p_{\varepsilon} F_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} - p_{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\alpha} X^{\varepsilon}) F_{\beta}^{\alpha} + p_{\varepsilon} F_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon} (\partial_{\beta} X^{\alpha}) \\ &= p_{\varepsilon} (X^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} F_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} - \partial_{\alpha} X^{\varepsilon} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} + \partial_{\beta} X^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}^{\varepsilon}) \\ &= p_{\varepsilon} (L_X F)_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (2.4). On the other hand, we know that $\gamma(L_X F)$ have components

$$\gamma(L_X F) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_{\varepsilon} (L_X F)_{\beta}^{\varepsilon} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^{\beta}, x^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$. Thus, we have (iii) of Theorem 2.2. \square

3. Complete Lift of Tensor Fields of Type (1,1)

Suppose now that $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$ and F has local components F_{β}^{α} in a neighborhood U of M_n , $F = F_{\beta}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \otimes dx^{\beta}$. If we take account of (2.2), we can prove

that ${}^{cc}F_{J'}^{I'} = A_I^{I'} A_{J'}^J {}^{cc}F_J^I$, where ${}^{cc}F$ is an affinor field defined by

$${}^{cc}F = ({}^{cc}F_J^I) = \begin{pmatrix} F_\beta^\alpha & y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) & F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$. We call ${}^{cc}F$ the complete lift of the tensor field F of type (1,1) to $t^*(M_n)$.

Proof. For simplicity, we put $I' = \bar{\alpha}'$, $J' = \beta'$ in ${}^{cc}F_{J'}^{I'}$ and take account of (2.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}F_{\beta'}^{\bar{\alpha}'} &= A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\bar{\alpha}} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} {}^{cc}F_{\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}} + A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\bar{\alpha}} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} {}^{cc}F_{\beta}^{\bar{\alpha}} + A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\bar{\alpha}} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} {}^{cc}F_{\beta}^{\alpha} \\ &= A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} y^{\sigma'} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} + A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} y^{\sigma'} \partial_{\sigma'} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} + A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'} y^{\sigma'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} \\ &= -y^{\sigma'} (\partial_{\sigma'} A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'}) A_{\beta'}^{\beta} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} + A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} y^{\sigma'} (\partial_{\sigma'} F_{\beta}^{\alpha}) + y^{\sigma'} (\partial_{\sigma'} A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'}) A_{\beta'}^{\beta} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} \\ &= y^{\sigma'} A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'} (\partial_{\sigma'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta}) F_{\beta}^{\alpha} + y^{\sigma'} A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} (\partial_{\sigma'} F_{\beta}^{\alpha}) + y^{\sigma'} (\partial_{\sigma'} A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'}) A_{\beta'}^{\beta} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} \\ &= y^{\sigma'} \partial_{\sigma'} (A_{\bar{\alpha}'}^{\alpha'} A_{\beta'}^{\beta} F_{\beta}^{\alpha}) \\ &= y^{\varepsilon'} \partial_{\varepsilon'} F_{\beta'}^{\alpha'}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can easily find another components of ${}^{cc}F_J^I$. \square

Theorem 3.1. *If $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$ and $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$ then*

- (i) ${}^{cc}F {}^{cc}X = {}^{cc}(FX) + \gamma(L_X F)$,
- (ii) ${}^{cc}F {}^{vv}\omega = {}^{vv}(\omega \circ F)$,

where L_X the operator of Lie derivation with respect to X .

Proof. (i) Let F, X be elements of $\mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$ and $\mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$, respectively. Then we get by (2.3), (2.4) and (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}F {}^{cc}X &= \begin{pmatrix} F_\beta^\alpha & y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) & F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^\beta \\ X^\beta \\ -p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} F_\beta^\alpha y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^\beta + y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha X^\beta \\ F_\beta^\alpha X^\beta \\ p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) X^\beta - p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha X^\beta + F_\beta^\alpha y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^\beta \\ (FX)^\alpha \\ p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) X^\beta - p_\varepsilon(\partial_\beta X^\varepsilon) F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon (FX)^\alpha \\ (FX)^\alpha \\ -p_\sigma \partial_\alpha (FX)^\sigma \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\sigma(X^\beta \partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - (\partial_\alpha X^\beta) F_\beta^\sigma - (\partial_\beta X^\sigma) F_\alpha^\beta) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon (FX)^\alpha \\ (FX)^\alpha \\ -p_\sigma \partial_\alpha (FX)^\sigma \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_\sigma(L_X F)_\alpha^\sigma \end{pmatrix} = {}^{cc}(FX) + \gamma(L_X F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have (i) of Theorem 3.1.

(ii) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$ and $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, from (2.4) and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
{}^{cc}F{}^{vv}\omega &= \begin{pmatrix} F_\beta^\alpha & y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) & F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_\beta \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ \omega_\beta F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ (\omega \circ F)_\alpha \end{pmatrix} = {}^{vv}(\omega \circ F).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have (ii) of Theorem 3.1. \square

Theorem 3.2. For any $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, $F^2 = -I$

$$({}^{cc}F)^2 = -I - \gamma(N_F).$$

Proof. Let $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$. Then we have by (2.5) and (3.1):

$$\begin{aligned}
({}^{cc}F)^2 &= \begin{pmatrix} F_\beta^\alpha & y^\varepsilon (\partial_\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha) & 0 \\ 0 & F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma(\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) & F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} F_\theta^\beta & y^\varepsilon (\partial_\varepsilon F_\theta^\beta) & 0 \\ 0 & F_\theta^\beta & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma(\partial_\theta F_\beta^\sigma - \partial_\beta F_\theta^\sigma) & F_\beta^\theta \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_\theta^\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_\theta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & -p_\sigma(N_F)_{\theta\alpha}^\sigma & -\delta_\alpha^\theta \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \begin{pmatrix} -\delta_\theta^\alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -\delta_\theta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\delta_\alpha^\theta \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -p_\sigma(N_F)_{\theta\alpha}^\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix} = -I - \gamma(N_F),
\end{aligned}$$

where $N_F \in \mathfrak{S}_2^1(M_n)$ is the Nijenhuis tensor of $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$. Thus, proof is complete. \square

Theorem 3.3. Let N_F and $N_{{}^{cc}F}$ be respectively the Nijenhuis tensors of $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$ and of the complete lift ${}^{cc}F$ of F . Then

$$N_{{}^{cc}F} = 0$$

if and only if $N_F = 0$.

Proof. For simplicity we take only $(N_{{}^{cc}F})_{\beta\theta}^{\bar{\alpha}}$. In fact,

$$\begin{aligned}
(N_{{}^{cc}F})_{\beta\theta}^{\bar{\alpha}} &= {}^{cc}F_\beta^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} {}^{cc}F_\theta^{\bar{\alpha}} - {}^{cc}F_\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} {}^{cc}F_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} - {}^{cc}F_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\beta}} {}^{cc}F_\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} + {}^{cc}F_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_\theta {}^{cc}F_\beta^{\bar{\gamma}} \\
&= F_\beta^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha - F_\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} F_\beta^\alpha - F_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\beta}} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon F_\theta^\gamma + F_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_\theta F_\beta^\gamma \\
&= F_\beta^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} F_\theta^\alpha - F_\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} F_\beta^\alpha - F_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\beta}} F_\theta^\gamma + F_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_\theta F_\beta^\gamma \\
&= F_\beta^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} F_\theta^\alpha - F_\theta^{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_{\bar{\gamma}} F_\beta^\alpha - F_\beta^{\bar{\alpha}} (\partial_{\bar{\beta}} F_\theta^\gamma + \partial_\theta F_\beta^\gamma) \\
&= (N_F)_{\beta\theta}^\alpha = 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, from (3.1), we can easily find another vanishing components of $N_{{}^{cc}F} : (N_{{}^{cc}F})_{BC}^A$, where $A = (\bar{\alpha}, \alpha, \bar{\bar{\alpha}})$, $B = (\bar{\beta}, \beta, \bar{\bar{\beta}})$, $C = (\bar{\theta}, \theta, \bar{\bar{\theta}})$. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$ and $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$. Then

$$L_{{}^{cc}X} {}^{cc}F = 0$$

if $L_X F = 0$.

Proof. If $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$ and $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, from (i) of Theorem 2.2 and (i) of Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(L^{cc} X^{cc} F)^{cc} Y &= L^{cc} X^{cc} F^{cc} Y - {}^{cc} F (L^{cc} X^{cc} Y) \\
&= L^{cc} X^{cc} ({}^{cc} (FY) + \gamma(L_Y F)) - {}^{cc} F^{cc} (L_X Y) \\
&= {}^{cc} L_X^{cc} (FY) + L^{cc} X \gamma(L_Y F) - {}^{cc} (F(L_X Y)) - \gamma_{[X, Y]} F \\
&= {}^{cc} (L_X (FY)) - {}^{cc} (F(L_X Y)) + L^{cc} X \gamma(L_Y F) - \gamma_{[X, Y]} F \\
&= {}^{cc} ((L_X F) Y) + L^{cc} X [{}^{cc} Y, \gamma F] - \gamma_{[X, Y]} F. \tag{3.2}
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, using the $([X, [Y, Z]] + [Y, [Z, X]] + [Z, [X, Y]] = 0)$ Jacobi identity, from (i) and (iii) of Theorem 2.2, we find

$$\begin{aligned}
[{}^{cc} X, [{}^{cc} Y, \gamma F]] + [{}^{cc} Y, [\gamma F, {}^{cc} X]] + [\gamma F, [{}^{cc} X, {}^{cc} Y]] &= 0, \\
[{}^{cc} X, [{}^{cc} Y, \gamma F]] + [{}^{cc} Y, [\gamma F, {}^{cc} X]] - [[{}^{cc} X, {}^{cc} Y], \gamma F] &= 0, \\
[{}^{cc} X, [{}^{cc} Y, \gamma F]] + [{}^{cc} Y, [\gamma F, {}^{cc} X]] - [{}^{cc} [X, Y], \gamma F] &= 0, \\
L^{cc} X [{}^{cc} Y, \gamma F] + [{}^{cc} Y, [\gamma F, {}^{cc} X]] - \gamma_{[X, Y]} F &= 0, \\
L^{cc} X [{}^{cc} Y, \gamma F] - \gamma_{[X, Y]} F &= -[{}^{cc} Y, [\gamma F, {}^{cc} X]]. \tag{3.3}
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (3.3) into (3.2), from (iii) of Theorem 2.2, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&= {}^{cc} ((L_X F) Y) - [{}^{cc} Y, [\gamma F, {}^{cc} X]] \\
&= {}^{cc} ((L_X F) Y) + [{}^{cc} Y, [{}^{cc} X, \gamma F]] \\
&= {}^{cc} ((L_X F) Y) + [{}^{cc} Y, \gamma(L_X F)] \\
&= {}^{cc} ((L_X F) Y) + \gamma(L_Y L_X F).
\end{aligned}$$

Using $L_X F = 0$, from last equations we have $L^{cc} X^{cc} F = 0$. This completes the proof. \square

Let $(T(M_n), F)$, $n = 2k$ be a complex manifold, i.e. $N_F = 0$. A vector field X on complex manifold $(T(M_n), F)$ is called a holomorphic vector field if $L_X F = 0$. Thus, from Theorem 3.2, Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.4, we have

Theorem 3.5. *A complete lift ${}^{cc} X$ is holomorphic with respect to complex structure ${}^{cc} F$ if X is holomorphic with respect to F .*

4. $\tilde{\gamma}$ -Operator

In this section, we will now define new $\tilde{\gamma}$ -operators on $t^*(M_n)$. Let X be a vector field on $T(M_n)$. We define a function $\tilde{\gamma}X$ on $t^*(M_n)$ by

$$\tilde{\gamma}X = p_\beta X^\beta. \tag{4.1}$$

For any $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, if we take account of (2.2), we can prove that $(\tilde{\gamma}F)' = \overline{(A)}(\tilde{\gamma}F)$, where $\tilde{\gamma}F$ is a vector field defined by

$$\tilde{\gamma}F = (\tilde{\gamma}F^A) = \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon F_\varepsilon^\alpha \\ 0 \\ -p_\sigma F_\alpha^\sigma \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.2}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\alpha}}, x^\alpha, x^{\bar{\alpha}})$.

Let $T \in \mathfrak{S}_2^1(M_n)$. On putting

$$\tilde{\gamma}T = (\tilde{\gamma}T_B^A) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^\varepsilon T_\varepsilon^\alpha{}_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -p_\sigma T_{\beta\alpha}^\sigma & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.3)$$

from (2.2), we easily see that $\tilde{\gamma}T_{B'}^{A'} = A_A^{A'} A_{B'}^B \tilde{\gamma}T_B^A$, where $(\overline{A})^{-1} = (A_{B'}^B)$ is the inverse matrix of \overline{A} .

Theorem 4.1. *Let $X, Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$. If $f \in \mathfrak{S}_0^0(M_n)$, $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$ and $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, then*

- (i) ${}^{vv}\omega(\tilde{\gamma}Z) = {}^{vv}(\omega(Z))$,
- (ii) $(\tilde{\gamma}F)({}^{vv}f) = 0$,
- (iii) $(\tilde{\gamma}F)\tilde{\gamma}Z = -\tilde{\gamma}(FZ)$,
- (iv) ${}^{cc}X(\tilde{\gamma}Z) = \tilde{\gamma}[X, Z]$.

Proof. (i) If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$, then we have by (2.4) and (4.1):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{vv}\omega(\tilde{\gamma}Z) &= {}^{vv}\omega^I \partial_I(\tilde{\gamma}Z) \\ &= {}^{vv}\omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) + {}^{vv}\omega^\alpha \partial_\alpha(p_\beta Z^\beta) + {}^{vv}\omega^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) \\ &= \omega_\alpha Z^\alpha = {}^{vv}(\omega(Z)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have ${}^{vv}\omega(\tilde{\gamma}Z) = {}^{vv}(\omega(Z))$.

(ii) If $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, then we have by (2.6) and (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\gamma}F)({}^{vv}f) &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^I \partial_I({}^{vv}f) \\ &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}({}^{vv}f) + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha({}^{vv}f) + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}({}^{vv}f) \\ &= y^\varepsilon F_\varepsilon^\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} f - p_\sigma F_\alpha^\sigma \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} f \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have $(\tilde{\gamma}F)({}^{vv}f) = 0$, so that $\tilde{\gamma}F$ is a vertical vector field on $t^*(M_n)$.

(iii) If $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$ and $Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$, then we have by (4.1) and (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\gamma}F)\tilde{\gamma}Z &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^I \partial_I(\tilde{\gamma}Z) \\ &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha(p_\beta Z^\beta) + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) \\ &= y^\varepsilon F_\varepsilon^\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) - p_\sigma F_\alpha^\sigma \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) \\ &= -p_\sigma F_\alpha^\sigma Z^\alpha = -p_\sigma (FZ)^\sigma = -\tilde{\gamma}(FZ), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (iii) of Theorem 4.1.

(iv) If $X, Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$, then taking account of (2.3) and (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}X\tilde{\gamma}(Z) &= {}^{cc}X^I \partial_I(\tilde{\gamma}Z) \\ &= {}^{cc}X^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) + {}^{cc}X^\alpha \partial_\alpha(p_\beta Z^\beta) + {}^{cc}X^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}}(p_\beta Z^\beta) \\ &= X^\alpha \partial_\alpha(p_\beta Z^\beta) - p_\beta (\partial_\alpha X^\beta) Z^\alpha \\ &= p_\beta (X^\alpha \partial_\alpha Z^\beta - Z^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^\beta) \\ &= p_\beta [X, Z]^\beta = \tilde{\gamma}[X, Z], \end{aligned}$$

which gives equation (iv) of Theorem 4.1. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$. For the Lie product, we have*

- (i) $[\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G] = \tilde{\gamma}[F, G] + 2\gamma[F, G]$,
(ii) $[\overset{c}{X}, \tilde{\gamma}F] = \tilde{\gamma}(L_X F)$

for any $F, G \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, where L_X the operator of Lie derivation with respect to X .

Proof. (i) If $F, G \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G]^{\bar{\beta}} \\ [\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G]^{\beta} \\ [\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G]^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G]$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^{\beta}, x^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$, then we have by (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G]^J &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^I \partial_I (\tilde{\gamma}G)^J - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^I \partial_I (\tilde{\gamma}F)^J \\ &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^J + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^J + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^J \\ &\quad - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^J - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^J - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^J \\ &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^J + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^J - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^J - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^J. \end{aligned}$$

Firstly, if $J = \bar{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G]^{\bar{\beta}} &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\beta}} + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= (y^{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha}) \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^{\varepsilon} G_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} - p_{\sigma} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^{\varepsilon} G_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} - y^{\varepsilon} G_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} + p_{\sigma} G_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \\ &= y^{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} G_{\alpha}^{\beta} - y^{\varepsilon} G_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} F_{\alpha}^{\beta} \\ &= y^{\varepsilon} (F \circ G - G \circ F)_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \\ &= y^{\varepsilon} [FG]_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (4.2). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$[\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G]^{\beta} = (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\beta} + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\beta} - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\beta} - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\beta} = 0$$

by virtue of (4.2). Thirdly, let $J = \bar{\bar{\beta}}$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G]^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} &= (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &\quad - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - (\tilde{\gamma}G)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= -y^{\varepsilon} F_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_{\sigma} G_{\beta}^{\sigma} + p_{\sigma} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_{\sigma} G_{\beta}^{\sigma} \\ &\quad + y^{\varepsilon} G_{\varepsilon}^{\alpha} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_{\sigma} F_{\beta}^{\sigma} - p_{\sigma} G_{\alpha}^{\sigma} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} p_{\sigma} F_{\beta}^{\sigma} \\ &= p_{\varepsilon} F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} G_{\beta}^{\alpha} - p_{\varepsilon} G_{\alpha}^{\sigma} F_{\beta}^{\alpha} \\ &= p_{\sigma} (F_{\alpha}^{\sigma} G_{\beta}^{\alpha} - G_{\alpha}^{\sigma} F_{\beta}^{\alpha}) \\ &= p_{\sigma} [F, G]_{\beta}^{\sigma} \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (4.2). We know that $\tilde{\gamma}[F, G]$ and $\gamma[F, G]$ have respectively, components on $t^*(M_n)$

$$\tilde{\gamma}[F, G] = \begin{pmatrix} y^{\varepsilon} [F, G]_{\varepsilon}^{\beta} \\ 0 \\ -p_{\sigma} [F, G]_{\beta}^{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \gamma[F, G] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ p_{\sigma} [F, G]_{\beta}^{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^{\beta}, x^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}})$ by (2.4) and (4.2). Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{\gamma}F, \tilde{\gamma}G] &= \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon [F, G]_\varepsilon^\beta \\ 0 \\ p_\sigma [F, G]_\beta^\sigma \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon [F, G]_\varepsilon^\beta \\ 0 \\ -p_\sigma [F, G]_\beta^\sigma \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 2p_\sigma [F, G]_\beta^\sigma \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \tilde{\gamma}[F, G] + 2\gamma[F, G]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have (i) of Theorem 4.2.

(ii) If $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$, $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$ and $\begin{pmatrix} [{}^{cc}X, \tilde{\gamma}F]_{\bar{\beta}} \\ [{}^{cc}X, \tilde{\gamma}F]^\beta \\ [{}^{cc}X, \tilde{\gamma}F]_{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \end{pmatrix}$ are components of $[{}^{cc}X, \tilde{\gamma}F]$ with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^{\beta}, x^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$, then we have

$$[{}^{cc}X, \tilde{\gamma}F]^J = ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I (\tilde{\gamma}F)^J - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^J.$$

Firstly, if $J = \bar{\beta}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, \tilde{\gamma}F]^{\bar{\beta}} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}X)^\alpha \partial_\alpha (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\beta}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &\quad - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^\varepsilon F_\varepsilon^\beta + X^\alpha \partial_\alpha y^\varepsilon F_\varepsilon^\beta - y^\varepsilon F_\varepsilon^\alpha \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^\alpha F_\alpha^\beta + y^\varepsilon X^\alpha \partial_\alpha F_\varepsilon^\beta - y^\varepsilon F_\varepsilon^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^{\bar{\beta}} \\ &= y^\varepsilon \left(\partial_\varepsilon X^\alpha F_\alpha^\beta + X^\alpha \partial_\alpha F_\varepsilon^\beta - F_\varepsilon^\alpha \partial_\alpha X^{\bar{\beta}} \right) \\ &= y^\varepsilon (L_X F)_\varepsilon^\beta \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (4.2). Secondly, if $J = \beta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, \tilde{\gamma}F]^\beta &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\beta - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^\beta \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\beta + ({}^{cc}X)^\alpha \partial_\alpha (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\beta + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\beta \\ &\quad - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^\beta - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}X)^\beta - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} ({}^{cc}X)^\beta \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (4.2). Thirdly, if $J = \bar{\bar{\beta}}$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [{}^{cc}X, \tilde{\gamma}F]^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} &= ({}^{cc}X)^I \partial_I (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^I \partial_I ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^\alpha \partial_\alpha (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &\quad - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\alpha}} \partial_{\bar{\alpha}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^\alpha \partial_\alpha ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= ({}^{cc}X)^\alpha \partial_\alpha (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} + ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} - (\tilde{\gamma}F)^{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} ({}^{cc}X)^{\bar{\bar{\beta}}} \\ &= -X^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\sigma F_\beta^\sigma + p_\sigma (\partial_\alpha X^\sigma) \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} p_\sigma F_\beta^\sigma - p_\sigma F_\alpha^\sigma \partial_{\bar{\bar{\alpha}}} p_\sigma (\partial_\beta X^\sigma) \\ &= -X^\alpha \partial_\alpha p_\sigma F_\beta^\sigma + p_\sigma (\partial_\alpha X^\sigma) F_\beta^\sigma - p_\sigma F_\alpha^\sigma (\partial_\beta X^\alpha) \\ &= -p_\sigma (X^\alpha \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma - \partial_\alpha X^\sigma F_\beta^\sigma + \partial_\beta X^\alpha F_\sigma^\alpha) \\ &= -p_\sigma (L_X F)_\beta^\sigma \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of (2.3) and (4.2). On the other hand, we know that $\tilde{\gamma}(L_X F)$ have components

$$\tilde{\gamma}(L_X F) = \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon (L_X F)_\varepsilon^\beta \\ 0 \\ -p_\sigma (L_X F)_\beta^\sigma \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the coordinates $(x^{\bar{\beta}}, x^\beta, x^{\bar{\beta}})$ on $t^*(M_n)$. Thus, we have (ii) of Theorem 4.2. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let $X \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$. If $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_1^0(M_n)$, $F \in \mathfrak{S}_1^1(T(M_n))$ and $S, T \in \mathfrak{S}_2^1(M_n)$, then*

- (i) $(\tilde{\gamma}S)^{cc}X = \tilde{\gamma}(S_X)$,
- (ii) $(\tilde{\gamma}S)^{(vv)\omega} = 0$,
- (iii) $(\tilde{\gamma}S)(\tilde{\gamma}F) = 0$,
- (iv) $(\tilde{\gamma}S)(\tilde{\gamma}T) = 0$,

where S_X is tensor field of type (1,1) on $T(M_n)$ defined by $S_X(Z) = S(X, Z)$ for any $Z \in \mathfrak{S}_0^1(T(M_n))$.

Proof. (i) Using (2.3) and (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\tilde{\gamma}S)^{cc}X &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon^\alpha{}_\beta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -p_\sigma S_\beta^\sigma{}_\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon X^\beta \\ X^\alpha \\ -p_\sigma (\partial_\beta X^\sigma) \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon S_\varepsilon^\alpha{}_\beta X^\beta \\ 0 \\ -p_\sigma S_\beta^\sigma{}_\alpha X^\alpha \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon (S_X)_\varepsilon^\alpha \\ 0 \\ -p_\sigma (S_X)_\beta^\sigma \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\gamma}(S_X). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$(\tilde{\gamma}S)^{(vv)\omega} = 0, \quad (\tilde{\gamma}S)(\tilde{\gamma}F) = 0, \quad (\tilde{\gamma}S)(\tilde{\gamma}T) = 0.$$

\square

Theorem 4.4. *For any affiner fields F, G on $T(M_n)$,*

$${}^{cc}F(\tilde{\gamma}G) = \tilde{\gamma}(G \circ F).$$

Proof. If F and G are affiner fields on $T(M_n)$, then we have by (3.1) and (4.2):

$$\begin{aligned} {}^{cc}F(\tilde{\gamma}G) &= \begin{pmatrix} F_\beta^\alpha & y^\varepsilon \partial_\varepsilon F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & F_\beta^\alpha & 0 \\ 0 & p_\sigma (\partial_\beta F_\alpha^\sigma - \partial_\alpha F_\beta^\sigma) & F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon G_\varepsilon^\beta \\ 0 \\ -p_\sigma G_\beta^\sigma \end{pmatrix} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon G_\varepsilon^\beta F_\beta^\alpha \\ 0 \\ -p_\sigma G_\beta^\sigma F_\alpha^\beta \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} y^\varepsilon (G \circ F)_\varepsilon^\alpha \\ 0 \\ -p_\sigma (G \circ F)_\alpha^\sigma \end{pmatrix} = \tilde{\gamma}(G \circ F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have ${}^{cc}F(\tilde{\gamma}G) = \tilde{\gamma}(G \circ F)$. \square

References

- [1] D. Husemöller, *Fibre Bundles*. New York, NY, USA, Springer (1994).
- [2] H.B. Lawson and M.L. Michelsohn, *Spin Geometry*, Princeton, NJ, USA, Princeton University Press (1989).

- [3] N.M. Ostianu, Step-fibred spaces, *Tr. Geom. Sem.* **5**, Moscow. (VINITI), 259-309 (1974).
- [4] L.S. Pontryagin, Characteristic classes of differentiable manifolds, *Trans Amer Math Soc.* **7**, 279-331 (1962).
- [5] W.A. Poor, *Differential Geometric Structures*, New York, McGraw-Hill (1981).
- [6] N. Steenrod, *The Topology of Fibre Bundles*, Princeton, NJ, USA, Princeton University Press (1951).
- [7] K. Yano and S. Ishihara, *Tangent and Cotangent Bundles*, Marcel Dekker, Inc., New York (1973).
- [8] F. Yıldırım and A. Salimov, Semi-cotangent bundle and problems of lifts, *Turk. J. Math.* **38**, 325-339 (2014).

Furkan Yıldırım

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sci. Atatürk University, 25240, Erzurum, Turkey

E-mail address: furkanyildirim111@hotmail.com

Received: February 4, 2015; Accepted: March 31, 2015