

## ON THE COMPLETENESS OF EIGEN AND ASSOCIATED VECTORS OF A CLASS OF THIRD ORDER QUASI-ELLIPTIC OPERATOR PENCILS

SABIR S. MIRZOYEV AND AYDAN T. GAZILOVA

**Abstract.** In the paper we find sufficient conditions on the coefficients of a class of third order quasi-elliptic operator pencils providing the completeness of the part of eigen and associated vectors in a separable Hilbert space. The completeness of the system of decreasing elementary solutions of the corresponding homogeneous equation in the space of solutions of this equation is proved. All these conditions are expressed by the coefficients of the operator pencil.

### 1. Introduction

In separable Hilbert space  $H$  we consider the operator pencil

$$P(\lambda) = (\lambda E - A)^2(\lambda E + A) + \lambda^2 A_1 + \lambda A_2, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\lambda$  is a spectral parameter,  $E$  is a unit operator in  $H$ , the operator coefficients of the operator pencil (1.1) satisfy the following conditions:

- (1)  $A$  is a self-adjoint positive-definite operator in  $H$ ;
- (2) The linear operators  $B_1 = A_1 A^{-1}$ ,  $B_2 = A_2 A^{-2}$  are bounded operators in  $H$ .

Note that in the sequel we will denote  $P_0(\lambda) = (\lambda E - A)^2(\lambda E + A)$ ,  $P_1(\lambda) = \lambda^2 A_1 + \lambda A_2$ ,  $P(\lambda) = P_0(\lambda) + P_1(\lambda)$  and  $P^*(\lambda) = (\lambda E - A)^2(\lambda E + A) + \lambda A_1^* + \lambda A_2^*$  i.e.  $P^*(\lambda) = P^*(\bar{\lambda})$ .

Note that

$$P(\lambda) = \lambda^3 E - \lambda^2 A - \lambda A^2 + A^3 + \lambda^2 A_1 + \lambda A_2 = (E + L(\lambda))A^3,$$

where

$$L(\lambda) = \lambda(B_2 - E)A^{-1} + \lambda^2(B_1 - E)A^{-2} + \lambda^3 A^{-3}.$$

If  $A^{-1}$  is a completely continuous operator in  $H$ , then  $L(\lambda)$  will be an operator-valued function with completely continuous coefficients. Considering that  $L(0) = 0$ ,  $E + L(\lambda)$  is invertible at the point  $\lambda = 0$ . Then from the M.V. Keldysh lemma [9] the operator-function  $E + L(\lambda)$  has a discrete spectrum with a unique limit point at infinity.

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35P05, 35P10, 47A10.

*Key words and phrases.* operator pencil, eigenvector, resolvent, complete system.



Following [10] we denote

$$W_2^3(R_+; H) = \left\{ u : u^{(3)} \in L_2(R_+; H), A^3 u \in L_2(R_+; H) \right\} \quad (1.5)$$

with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_2^3(R_+; H)} = \left( \|u^{(3)}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \|A^3 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 \right)^{1/2}$$

It follows from the trace theorem [10] that

$$W_2^3(R_+; H; 0) = \left\{ u : u \in W_2^3(R_+; H), u(0) = 0 \right\} \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$\overset{0}{W}_2^3(R_+; H) = \left\{ u : u \in W_2^3(R_+; H), u(0) = u'(0) = u''(0) = 0 \right\} \quad (1.7)$$

are complete subspaces of space  $W_2^3(R_+; H)$ .

Note that for  $\varphi \in H_{5/2}$  and  $e^{-tA} \varphi \in W_2^3(R_+; H)$  we have the inequalities

$$\|A^3 e^{-tA} \varphi\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \|\varphi\|_{5/2} \quad (1.8)$$

and

$$\|e^{-tA} \varphi\|_{W_2^3(R_+; H)} \leq \|\varphi\|_{5/2} \quad (1.9)$$

Indeed, from the spectral expansion, assuming  $y = A^{5/2} \varphi \in H$

$$\begin{aligned} \|A^3 e^{-tA} \varphi\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 &= \int_0^\infty (A^3 e^{-tA} \varphi, A^3 e^{-tA} \varphi) dt = \int_0^\infty (A(e^{-tA} y, e^{-tA} y)) dt \leq \\ &\leq \int_0^\infty \left( \int_{\mu_0}^\infty \mu e^{-2t\mu} dt \right) d(E_\mu y, y) = \int_{\mu_0}^\infty \mu d(E_\mu y, y) \left( \int_0^\infty e^{-2t\mu} dt \right) = \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mu_0}^\infty (dE_\mu y, y) = \frac{1}{2} \|y\|^2 = \frac{1}{2} \|\varphi\|_{5/2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that  $\|e^{-tA} \varphi\|_{W_2^3(R_+; H)}^2 = 2 \|A^3 e^{-tA} \varphi\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 \leq \|\varphi\|_{5/2}^2$ .

Note that for  $R = (-\infty, \infty)$  the spaces  $L_2(R_+; H)$  and  $W_2^3(R_+; H)$  are determined in the same way.

We will use some facts from the book [10].

1<sup>0</sup>. Theorem on intermediate derivatives.

If  $u \in W_2^3(R_+; H)$ , then  $A^{3-j} u^{(j)} \in L_2(R_+; H)$  and

$$\left\| A^{3-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^3(R_+; H)}, \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (1.10)$$

2<sup>0</sup>. The trace theorem

If  $u \in W_2^3(R_+; H)$ , then  $u^{(j)}(0) \in H_{3-j-1/2}$  and

$$\left\| u^{(j)}(0) \right\|_{H_{3-j-1/2}} \leq \text{const} \|u\|_{W_2^3(R_+; H)}, \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (1.11)$$

3<sup>0</sup>. The class  $D(R; H)$  of infinitely differentiable functions with values in  $H$  with compact supports in  $R$  is everywhere dense in  $W_2^3(R; H)$ .

Note that the principal part of the operator pencil  $P(\lambda)$

$$P_0(\lambda) = (\lambda E - A)^2(\lambda E + A) \quad (1.12)$$

has spectra in the right and left semi-axes, but the power is an odd number, therefore, the pencil (1.1) is said to be a third order quasi-elliptic operator.

Since the characteristic polynomial has one root from the left half plane, we will study boundary value problems in one condition at the point 0.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $x_0, x_1, \dots, x_m$  be the system of eigen and associated vectors responding to the eigen-value  $\lambda_0$ , then the vector-functions

$$u_h(t) = e^{\lambda_0 t} \left( \frac{t^h}{h!} x_0 + \frac{t^{h-1}}{(h-1)!} x_1 + \dots + x_h \right), h = \overline{0, m} \quad (1.13)$$

are called elementary solutions of  $P(d/dt)u(t) = 0$ .

For  $Re\lambda_0 < 0$  they are said to be decreasing elementary solutions.

The equalities  $P(d/dt)u_h(t) = 0$  are verified by using the definition of eigen and associate vectors. Thus, for  $Re\lambda_0 < 0$  the elementary solutions  $u_h(t) \in W_2^3(R_+; H)$ . Let us associate the operator pencil  $P(\lambda)$  with the boundary value problem

$$\begin{aligned} P(d/dt)u(t) &= \left( \frac{d}{dt} - A \right)^2 \left( \frac{d}{dt} + A \right) u(t) + A_1 \frac{d^2 u(t)}{dt^2} + \\ &+ A_2 \frac{du(t)}{dt} = f(t), t \in R_+ \quad (1.14) \\ u(0) &= 0. \quad (1.15) \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 1.3.** If for  $f(t) \in L_2(R_+; H)$  there exists a vector-function  $u(t) \in W_2^3(R_+; H)$  satisfying the equation (1.14) almost everywhere in  $R_+ = (0, \infty)$ , then  $u(t)$  it is said to be a regular solution of equation (1.14)

**Definition 1.4.** If for any  $f(t) \in L_2(R_+; H)$  there exists regular solution  $u(t)$  of the equation (1.14), boundary conditions (1.15) in the sense of convergence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \|u(t)\|_{5/2} = 0$$

and we have the estimations

$$\|u\|_{W_2^3(R_+; H)} \leq const \|f\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}$$

then problem (1.14), (1.15) is said to be regularly solvable.

## 2. On the norms of intermediate derivatives and regular solvability conditions of a boundary value problem

Denote

$$\mathcal{P}_0 u = P_0(d/dt)u = (d/dt - A)^2 (d/dt + A) u(t), \quad u \in W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$$

$$\mathcal{P}_1 u = P_1(d/dt)u = A_1 \frac{d^2 u}{dt^2} + A_2 \frac{du}{dt} \quad \text{and } \mathcal{P} u = \mathcal{P}_0 u + \mathcal{P}_1 u, \quad u \in W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$$

acting from  $W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$  to the space  $L_2(R_+; H)$ . The boundedness of the operators  $\mathcal{P}_0, \mathcal{P}_1$  and  $\mathcal{P}$  follows from conditions 1), 2) and the theorem on intermediate derivatives [10].

The following theorem is valid.

**Theorem 2.1.** *The operator  $\mathcal{P}_0$  isomorphically maps the space  $W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$  onto the space  $L_2(R_+; H)$*

*Proof.* Let us show that the equation  $\mathcal{P}_0 u = f$  has a solution for all  $f \in L_2(R_+; H)$ .

Introduce the function  $f_1(t) \in L_2(R; H)$  in the following way:  $f_1(t) = f(t)$ , for  $t \in R_+$ ,  $f_1(t) = 0$ , for  $t \in R/R_+$ . Obviously,  $\|f_1\|_{L_2(R; H)} = \|f\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}$ .

Consider in  $R$  the equation

$$P_0(d/dt)v(t) = f_1(t), \quad t \in R = (-\infty, \infty).$$

After Fourier transformation we obtain

$$\hat{v}(\xi) = P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\hat{f}_1(\xi), \quad \xi \in R$$

where  $\hat{v}(\xi)$  and  $\hat{f}_1(\xi)$  are Fourier transformations of the functions  $v(t)$  and  $f_1(t)$ , respectively. Obviously,

$$\|A^2\hat{v}(\xi)\|_{L_2(R; H)}^2 = \|A^2P_0^{-1}\hat{f}_1(\xi)\|_{L_2(R; H)}^2 \leq \sup_{\xi \in R} \|A^2P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\|^2 \|\hat{f}_1(\xi)\|_{L_2(R; H)}^2$$

From the spectral theory of self-adjoint operators we obtain

$$\|A^2P_0^{-1}(i\xi)\| = \sup_{\sigma \in \sigma(A)} |\sigma^3(i\xi - \sigma)^{-2}(i\xi + \sigma)^{-1}| = \sup_{\sigma \in \sigma(A)} |\sigma^3(\xi^2 + \sigma^2)^{-3/2}| \leq 1$$

i.e.  $A^2\hat{v}(\xi) \in L_2(R; H)$ . It is similarly proved that  $(i\xi)^3\hat{v}_1(\xi) \in L_2(R; H)$ . Then  $v(t) \in W_2^3(R; H)$  and  $\|v(t)\|_{W_2^3(R; H)} \leq \text{const} \|f_1(t)\|_{L_2(R; H)} \leq \text{const} \|f\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}$ .

Denote by  $u_0(t)$  contraction of the function  $v(t)$  on  $[0, \infty)$ .

Then  $u_0(t) \in W_2^3(R_+; H)$  is a regular solution of (1.14) and we will look for the solution in the form

$$u(t) = u_0(t) + e^{-tA}\varphi,$$

where  $\varphi \in H_{5/2}$  is an unknown vector. Then it follows from  $u(0) = 0$  condition that  $\varphi = -u_0(0)$ . Since  $v_0(0) = u_0(0)$  and by the theorem on traces  $\|v_0(0)\|_{5/2} \leq \text{const} \|v\|_{W_2^3(R; H)} \leq \text{const} \|f_1\|_{L_2(R; H)} = \text{const} \|f\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}$ , then  $\varphi = -u_0(0) \in H_{5/2}$ . On the other hand,

$$\|u\|_{W_2^2(R_+; H)} \leq \|u_0\|_{W_2^2(R_+; H)} + \|e^{-tA}u_0(0)\|_{W_2(R_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|f\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}$$

Then, by the Banach bounded inverse theorem the inverse operator  $\mathcal{P}_0^{-1}$  exists and is bounded. The theorem is proved.  $\square$

It follows from this theorem that the norms  $\|u\|_{W_2^3(R; H)}$  and  $\|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R; H)}$  are equivalent in the space  $W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$ . Then the following norms are finite

$$N_j(R_+; 0) = \sup_{0 \neq u \in W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)} \left\| A^{3-j}u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \quad (2.1)$$

and

$$\mathring{N}_j(R_+) = \sup_{0 \neq u \in \mathring{W}_2^3(R_+; H)} \left\| A^{3-j}u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

These norms  $N_j(R_+; 0)$  are very important for finding the conditions of regular solvability of problem (1.14), (1.15) .

Let  $d_j = \left(\frac{j}{3}\right)^{j/3} \left(\frac{3-j}{3}\right)^{\frac{3-j}{3}}$ ,  $j = 1, 2$  and the parameter  $\beta \in (0, d_j^{-3})$ . Let us determine the following operator pencils

$$P_j(\lambda; \beta; A) = P_0(\lambda; A)P_0(-\lambda, A) - \beta(i\lambda)^{2j}A^{6-2j}, \quad j = 1, 2 \quad (2.2)$$

We have

**Theorem 2.2.** *For  $\beta \in (0, d_j^{-3})$  the operator pencil  $P_j(\lambda; \beta; A)$  has no spectrum on the imaginary axis, and  $P_j(\lambda; \beta; A)$  is represented in the form:*

$$P_j(\lambda; \beta; A) = F_j(\lambda; \beta; A)P_j(-\lambda; \beta; A) \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} F_j(\lambda; \beta; A) &= (\lambda E - w_1(\beta)A)(\lambda E - w_2(\beta)A)(\lambda E - w_3(\beta)A) = \\ &= \lambda^3 + a_{2,j}(\beta)\lambda^2A + a_{1,j}\lambda A^2 + 1 \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\text{Rew}_k(\beta) < 0, k = 1, 2, 3$  and  $a_{2,j}(\beta) > 0, a_{1,j}(\beta) > 0, j = 1, 2$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma \in \sigma(A)$ . Then for  $\lambda = i\xi, \xi \in R$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} P_j(i\xi, \beta; \sigma) &= P_0(i\xi, \sigma)P_0(-i\xi, \beta) - \beta\xi^{2j}\sigma^{6-2j} = (\xi^2 + \sigma^2)^3 - \beta\xi^{2j}\sigma^{6-2j} = \\ &= (\xi^2 + \sigma^2)^3 - \beta\xi^{2j}\sigma^{6-2j} = (\xi^2 + \sigma^2)^3 (1 - \beta\xi^{2j}\sigma^{6-2j}(\xi^2 + \sigma^2)^{-3}) > \\ &> (\xi^2 + \sigma^2)^3 (1 - \beta \sup_{\mu \geq 0} \mu^j (1 + \mu)^{-3}) = \\ &= (\xi^2 + \sigma^2)^3 (1 - \beta d_j^3) > 0, \quad j = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence it follows that  $P_j(i\xi, \beta; \sigma)$  has no root on the imaginary axis.

Since  $P_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma) = P_j(-\lambda, \beta; \sigma)$  and  $P_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma)$  is a polynomial with real coefficients, and if  $\lambda$  is a root of the polynomial  $P_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma)$ , then  $-\lambda$  and  $\bar{\lambda}$  also are roots of  $P_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma)$ . Therefore, three of the roots lie on the left half-plane and three of them lie on the right half-plane. Then assuming

$$F_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma) = (\lambda E - w_{1,j}(\beta)A)(\lambda E - w_{2,j}(\beta)A)(\lambda E - w_{3,j}(\beta)A)$$

where  $\text{Rew}_{k,j}(\beta) < 0 (k = 1, 2, 3)$ , we obtain

$$F_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma) = a_{3,j}(\beta)\lambda^3 + a_{2,j}(\beta)\lambda^2\sigma + a_{1,j}(\beta)\lambda\sigma^2 + a_{0,j}(\beta)$$

Note that  $a_{3,j}(\beta) = 1$ , and from the Wiett theorem it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_{1,j}(\beta) &= -(w_1(\beta) + w_2(\beta) + w_3(\beta)) > 0, \\ a_{2,j}(\beta) &= w_{1,j}(\beta)w_{2,j}(\beta) + w_{1,j}(\beta)w_{3,j}(\beta) + w_{2,j}(\beta)w_{3,j}(\beta) > 0, \\ a_0(\beta) &= -w_{1,j}(\beta)w_{2,j}(\beta)w_{3,j}(\beta) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

since if  $w_k(\beta) < 0, (k = 1, 2, 3)$  this is obvious, if one the roots is complex, then its complex conjugate is also a root of the polynomial and again  $\text{Rew}_k(\beta) < 0$ .

On the other and, from the equality

$$P_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma) = F_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma)F_j(\lambda, \beta; \sigma) \quad (2.5)$$

comparing the coefficients for the powers  $\lambda$  we get  $a_{0j}^2(\beta) = 1$ , since  $a_{0j}(\beta) > 0$ ,  $a_{0j}(\beta) = 1, j = 1, 2$ . For  $j = 1$  we have

$$a_{0,1}(\beta) = a_{3,1}(\beta) = 1, a_{2,1}^2(\beta) - 2a_{1,1}(\beta) = 3, a_{1,1}^2(\beta) - 2a_{1,1}(\beta) = 3 - \beta \quad (2.6)$$

For  $j = 2$  we have

$$a_{0,2}(\beta) = a_{3,2}(\beta) = 1, a_{2,2}^2(\beta) - 2a_{1,2}(\beta) = 3 - \beta, a_{1,2}^2(\beta) - 2a_{2,2}(\beta) = 3 \quad (2.7)$$

In what follows, using spectral expansion of the operator  $A$  from equality (2.5), we obtain the validity of formula (2.3) The theorem has been proved.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *For any  $R$  we have the equality*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 - \beta \|A^{3-j} u^{(j)}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 = \\ & = \|F(d/dt; \beta A)u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + (R_j(\beta), \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi})_{H^2}, \quad j = 1, 2 \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

where  $\tilde{\varphi} = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\varphi_j = A^{-3-j-1/2} u^{(j)}(0)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ ,  $H^2 = H \times H$ ,

$$R_j(\beta) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,j}(\beta)a_{2,j}(\beta) - 1 & a_{1,j}(\beta) + 1 \\ a_{1,j}(\beta) + 1 & a_{2,j}(\beta) + 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad j = 1, 2$$

*Proof.* Let  $u \in W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$  ( $u(0) = 0$ ). Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F_j(d/dt; \beta; A)u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 = \|u^{(3)} + a_{2,j}(\beta)Au'' + a_{1,j}(\beta)A^2u' + A^3u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 = \\ & = \|u^{(3)}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + a_{2,j}^2(\beta) \|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + a_{1,j}^2(\beta) \|A^2u'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \\ & + \|A^3u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + a_{2,j}(\beta)2\text{Re}(u^{(3)}, Au'')_{L_2(R_+; H)} + \\ & + a_{1,j}(\beta)2\text{Re}(u^{(3)}, A^2u')_{L_2(R_+; H)} + 2\text{Re}(u^{(3)}, A^3u)_{L_2(R_+; H)} \\ & + a_{2,j}(\beta)a_{1,j}(\beta)2\text{Re}(Au'', A^2u')_{L_2(R_+; H)} + \\ & + a_{2,j}(\beta)2\text{Re}(Au'', A^3u)_{L_2(R_+; H)} + a_{1,j}(\beta)2\text{Re}(A^2u', u^{(3)})_{L_2(R_+; H)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

After integrating by parts we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\text{Re}(u^{(3)}, A^2u')_{L_2(R_+; H)} = -\|\varphi_2\|_H^2, \quad 2\text{Re}(u^{(3)}, A^2u') = \\ & = -2\text{Re}(\varphi_2, \varphi_1) - 2\|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2, \quad 2\text{Re}(u^{(3)}, A^3u)_{L_2(R_+; H)} = \\ & = \|\varphi_1\|_H^2, \quad 2\text{Re}(Au'', A^2u')_{L_2(R_+; H)} = -\|\varphi_1\|^2 \\ & 2\text{Re}(Au'', A^3u)_{L_2(R_+; H)} = -2\|A^2u'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Considering this equality in (2.9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \|F_j(d/dt; \beta; A)u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 = \|u^{(3)}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + a_{2,j}^2(\beta) \|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \\ & + a_{1,j}^2(\beta) \|A^3u'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 - a_{2,j}(\beta) \|\varphi_2\|^2 - 2a_{1,j}(\beta)\text{Re}(\varphi_2, \varphi_1) - \\ & - 2a_{i,j}^{(\beta)} \|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \|\varphi_1\|^2 - a_{1,j}(\beta)a_{2,j}(\beta) \|\varphi_1\|^2 - \\ & - 2a_{2,j}(\beta) \|A^2u'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 = \|u^{(3)}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \|A^3 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + (a_{2,j}^2(\beta) - 2a_{1,j}(\beta)) \|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \\
& + (a_{1,j}^2(\beta) - 2a_{1,j}(\beta)) \|Au'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 - a_{1,j}(\beta) a_{2,j}(\beta) \|\varphi_1\|^2 - \\
& - a_{1,j}(\beta) \|\varphi_2\|^2 - 2a_{1,j}(\beta) \operatorname{Re}(\varphi_2, \varphi_1).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

It follows from equality (2.10) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\varphi_1\|^2 & = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)_{H^2}, \\
2\operatorname{Re}(\varphi_2, \varphi_1) & = \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)_{H^2} \\
\|F_j(d/dt; \beta; A)u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 & = \|u^{(3)}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \|A^3 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \\
& + (a_{2,j}^2(\beta) - 2a_{1,j}(\beta)) \|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + (a_{1,j}^2(\beta) - 2a_{2,j}(\beta)) \|Au'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 \\
& - \left( \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,j}(\beta) \cdot a_{2,j}(\beta) - 1 & a_{1,j}(\beta) \\ a_{1,j}(\beta) & a_{2,j}(\beta) \end{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi} \right)_{H^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

In the same way we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
\|P_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 & = \|u^{(3)} - A^2 u'' - A^2 u' + A^3 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 = \|u^{(3)}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \\
& + 3 \|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + 3 \|A^2 u'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + \left( \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix} \right)_{H^2}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|F_j(d/dt; \beta; A)u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + (R_j(\varphi) \tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi})_{H^2} = \\
& = \|P_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + (a_{2,j}^2(\beta) - 2a_{1,j}(\beta) - 3) \times \\
& \times \|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 + (a_{1,j}^2(\beta) - 2a_{2,j}(\beta) - 3) \|Au'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2, j = 1, 2
\end{aligned}$$

Taking into account equalities (2.6) and (2.7) in the last equality, we complete the proof of the theorem.  $\square$

In [8] it is proved that

$$N_j(R) = \sup_{0 \neq u \in W_2^3(R; H)} \|A^{3-j} u^{(j)}\|_{L_2(R; H)} \|P_0(d/dt)u\|_{L_2(R; H)}^{-1} = d_j^{3/2}, j = 1, 2$$

Then from the density of  $D(R; H)$  in  $W_2^3(R; H)$  it follows that there exists the vector-function  $v_n(t) \in D(R; H)$  with such a support in the interval  $[-n, n]$  that  $v_n(t) \rightarrow v(t)$  in the norm of the space  $W_2^3(R; H)$ . Then the vector-function  $u_n(t) = v(t - n) \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^3(R_+; H)$  and  $\|u_n(t)\|_{W_2^3(R_+; H)} = \|v_n(t)\|_{W_2^3(R; H)}$ . Then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $n_0$  such that

$$\|A^{3-j} u_{n_0}^{(j)}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \|P_0 u_{n_0}\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^{-1} > d_j^{3/2} - \varepsilon$$



On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 2.3 that for all  $u \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2(R; H)(u^{(j)}(0) = 0, j = 1, 2, 3)$

$$\|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{W_2(R_+; H)}^2 - \beta \left\| A^{3-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \geq 0$$

Passing to the limit as  $\beta \rightarrow d_j^{-3}$  we obtain, consequently  $\overset{\circ}{N}_j(R_+) \leq d_j^{3/2}$ , it follows from  $\overset{\circ}{N}_j(R_+) = d_j^{3/2}, j = 1, 2$  that  $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^3(R_+; H) \subset W_2(R_+; H; 0)$  consequently,

$$N_j(R_+; 0) \geq d_j^{3/2} (j = 1, 2) \quad (2.11)$$

Now we show that when  $N_j(R_+; 0) = d_j^{3/2} (j = 1, 2)$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** *For  $N_j(R_+; 0) = d_j^{3/2} (j = 1, 2)$  it is necessary and sufficient that for all  $\beta \in (0, d_j^{-3})$  the matrix  $R_j(\beta) > 0$ , where the matrix  $R_j(\beta)$  was determined from Theorem 2.3.*

*Proof.* Let  $N_j(R_+; 0) = d_j^{3/2}$ . Then for any  $\beta \in (0, d_j^{-3})$  for any  $\tilde{\varphi} \in H^2$ , the Cauchy problem

$$F_j(d/dt; \beta; A)u(t) = 0, u(0) = 0, u'(0) = A^{-3/2}\varphi_1, u''(0) = A^{-1/2}\varphi_2$$

has the solution  $u(t)$  from  $W_2^3(R_+; H)$ . This follows from the form of  $F_j(\lambda; \beta; A)$ . Then for any  $\tilde{\varphi} \in H^2$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} (R(\beta)\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\varphi})_{H^2} &= \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 - \beta \left\| A^{3-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 = \\ &= \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 \left( 1 - \beta \left\| A^{3-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^{-2} \right) \geq \\ &\geq \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 (1 - \beta N_j^2(R_+; 0)) = \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 (1 - \beta d_j^3) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $R_j(\beta) > 0$ . On the other hand, if  $R_j(\beta) > 0$ , then it follows from Theorem 2.3 that for all  $\beta \in (0, d_j^{-3})$  and  $W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$

$$\|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 - \beta \left\| A^{3-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 > 0.$$

For  $\beta \rightarrow d_j^{-3}$  we have

$$\left\| A^{3-j} u^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \cdot \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^{-1} \leq d_j^{3/2}$$

i.e.  $N_j(R_+; 0) \leq d_j^{3/2}$ . On the other hand, it follows from inequality (2.11) that  $N_j(R_+; 0) \geq d_j^{3/2}$ . Then  $N_j(R_+; 0) = d_j^{3/2}$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

For  $N_j(R_+; 0) > d_j^{3/2}$  finding  $N_j(R_+; 0)$  we prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $N_j(R_+; H) > d_j^{3/2}$ , then  $N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0)$  is the least root of the equation  $\det R_j(\beta) = 0$  from the interval  $(0, d_j^{-3})$ .*

*Proof.* Obviously,  $N_j(R_+; 0) \in (0, d_j^{-3})$ . Then for  $\beta \in (0, N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0))$ , for any  $\tilde{\varphi} \in H^2$ , the Cauchy problem

$$F(d/dt; \beta; A)u = 0, u(0) = 0, u'(0) = A^{-3/2}\varphi_1, u''(0) = A^{-1/2}\varphi_2$$

has a unique solution  $u_0(t) \in W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$ . This follows from the representation of  $F(\lambda; \beta; A)$  in (2.4).

Then for any  $\tilde{\varphi} \in H^2$ , it follows from the inequality that

$$(R(\beta)\varphi, \varphi) \geq \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 ((1 - \beta \cdot N_j^2(R_+; 0)) > 0, \beta \in (0, N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0))).$$

Thus, the first eigenvalue  $\lambda_1(\beta) > 0$ , for  $\beta \in (0, N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0))$ .

From the definition of  $N_j(R_+; 0)$  it follows that for  $\beta > N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0)$  there exists such vector-function  $u_\beta(t) \in W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$  that

$$\|\mathcal{P}_0 u_\beta\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 - \beta \left\| A^{3-j} u_\beta^{(j)} \right\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}^2 < 0.$$

Then it follows from Theorem 2.3 that  $(R(\beta)\tilde{\varphi}_\beta, \tilde{\varphi}_\beta) < 0$ , where  $\tilde{\varphi}_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_{1,\beta} \\ \varphi_{2,\beta} \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $\varphi_{j,\beta} = A^{3-j1/2} u_\beta^{(j)}(0)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . Thus, we obtain that the first eigenvalue of the matrix  $R_j(\beta)$  for  $\beta > N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0)$  is negative, i.e.  $\lambda_1(\beta) < 0$  for  $\beta \in (N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0), d_j^{-3})$ . Then it follows from the continuity of  $\lambda_1(\beta)$  with respect to  $\beta$  that  $\lambda_1(N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0)) = 0$ .

Consequently,  $\det R(N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0)) = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_1(\beta) > 0$  for all  $\beta \in (N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0), d_j^{-3})$ , we have  $\beta_0 = N_j^{-2}(R_+; 0)$  is the least root of the equation  $\det R_j(\beta) = 0$  from the interval  $(0, d_j^{-3})$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ). The theorem is proved.  $\square$

Using Theorems 2.4 and 2.5, we can find  $N_1(R_+; 0)$  and  $N_2(R_+; 0)$ . We have

**Theorem 2.6.** *The norm  $N_1(R_+; 0) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{8}\right)^{1/2}$ .*

*Proof.* To find  $N_1(R_+; 0)$ , we will solve the system of equations:

$$\begin{cases} (a_1(\beta)a_{21}(\beta) - 1)(a_{21}(\beta) + 1) = (a_{1,j}(\beta) + 1)^2 \\ a_{1,1}^2(\beta) - 2a_{2,1}(\beta) = 3 - \beta \\ a_{2,1}^2(\beta) - 2a_{1,1}(\beta) = 3 \end{cases}$$

For simplicity we omit the argument  $\beta$  and the second index

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 a_2^2 - a_2 + a_1 a_2 - 1 &= a_1^2 + 2a_1 + 1 \Rightarrow a_1(2a_1 + 3) - a_2 + a_1 a_2 - 1 = \\ &= a_1^2 + 2a_1 + 1 \Rightarrow a_1^2 + a_1 - a_2 + a_1 a_2 - 2 = 0 \Rightarrow (a_1 - 1)(a_1 + a_2 + 2) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $a_1 + a_2 + 2 > 0$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ . Then  $a_2 = \sqrt{5}$ . Consequently from the equation  $1 - 2\sqrt{5} = 3 - \beta$  it follows that  $\beta = 2(\sqrt{5} + 1) \in (0, \frac{27}{4})$  i.e.  $\beta_0 = 2(\sqrt{5} + 1)$  and  $N_1(R_+; 0) = \beta_0^{-1/2} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}-1}{8}\right)^{1/2}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 2.7.** *The norm  $N_2(R_+; 0) = \beta_0^{-1/2}$ , where  $\beta_0$  is a positive root of the equation*

$$4\beta^3 - 11\beta - 20\beta - 16 = 0.$$

*Proof.* We must solve the system

$$\begin{cases} (a_1 a_2 - 1)(a_2 + 1) = (a_1 + 1)^2 \\ a_1^2 - 2a_2 = 3 \\ a_2^2 - 2a_1 = 3 - \beta \end{cases}$$

From the equality  $(a_1a_2 - 1)(a_2 + 1) = (a_1 + 1)^2 \Rightarrow (a_1a_2^2 - a_2 + a_1a_2 - 1) =$

$$\begin{aligned} &= (a_1 + 1)^2 \Rightarrow a_1(2a_1 + 3 - \beta) - a_2 - a_1a_2 - 1 = a_1^2 + 2a_1 + 1 \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow a_1^2 + a_1 - \beta a_1 - a_2 + a_1a_2 = 0 \Rightarrow 1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_1a_2 - \beta a_1 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_1a_2 = \beta a_1 \quad (2.12)$$

Multiplying the equality by the number  $a_1$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 + a_1^2 + a_1a_2 + a_1^2a_2 &= \beta a_1^2 \Rightarrow a_1 + 2a_2 + 3 + a_1a_2 + a_2(2a_2 + 3) = \\ &= \beta(2a_2 + 3) \Rightarrow (1 + a_1 + a_2 + a_1a_2) + (a_2 + 2 + 2a_2^2 + 3a_2) = \\ &= 2\beta a_2 + 3\beta \Rightarrow \beta a_1 + 4a_2 + 4a_1 + 8 - 2\beta = 2\beta a_2 + 3\beta \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$(4 + \beta)a_1 + (4 - 2\beta)a_2 = 5\beta - 8 \quad (2.13)$$

Then, considering  $a_1^2 - 1 = 2(a_2 + 1)$ , we obtain the equality

$$\begin{aligned} (a_1a_2 - 1)(a_1 - 1) &= 2(a_1 + 1) \Rightarrow a_1^2a_2 - a_1 + 1 - a_1a_2 = 2a_1 + 2 \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow (2a_2 + 3)a_2 - a_1 - a_1a_2 - 1 = 2a_1 \Rightarrow 2a_2^2 + 4a_2 - \\ &- (a_2 + a_1 + a_1a_2 + 1) = 2a_1 \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow 4a_1 + 6 - 2\beta + 4a_2 - \beta a_1 = 2a_1 \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$(2 - \beta)a_1 + 4a_2 = 2\beta - 6 \quad (2.14)$$

From the equations (2.13), and (2.14) we obtain

$$a_1 = \frac{2\beta^2 - 4}{4 + 6\beta - \beta^2} \quad (2.15)$$

It follows from equation (2.14) that

$$2a_1^2 + (2 - \beta)a_1 = 2\beta$$

Considering in this equation the value  $a_1$  from (2.15) with respect to  $\beta$  we obtain an equation with respect to  $\beta$ . After simple calculations we obtain the equation

$$4\beta^3 - 11\beta^2 - 20\beta - 16 = 0$$

By the Descartes theorem this equation has a unique real root  $\beta_0$  from the interval  $(0, \frac{27}{4})$  and  $N_2(R_+; 0) = \beta_0^{-1/2}$ . The theorem is proved.  $\square$

We now prove a theorem on regular solvability of problem (1.14),(1.15)

**Theorem 2.8.** *Let conditions 1), 2) be fulfilled, and the condition*

$$q = N_2(R_+; 0) \|B_1\| + N_1(R_+; 0) \|B_2\| < 1$$

*be fulfilled, where the numbers  $N_1(R_+; 0)$  and  $N_2(R_+; 0)$  were determined from Theorem 2.6 and 2.7. Then the problem (1.14),(1.15) is regularly solvable.*

*Proof.* We write problem (1.14),(1.15) in the operator form

$$\mathcal{P}u \equiv \mathcal{P}_0u + \mathcal{P}_1u = f,$$

where  $f \in L_2(R_+; 0)$ ,  $f \in W_2^3(R_+; 0)$ . After replacing  $\mathcal{P}_0 u = \omega$  we obtain the equation  $(E + \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1})\omega = f$ . We now estimate the norm of the operator  $\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1}$ . We can write that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1} u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} &= \|\mathcal{P}_1 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \leq \|B_1\| \|Au''\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} + \\ &+ \|B_2\| \|Au'\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \leq (N_2 \|B_1\| + N_1 \|B_2\|) \|\mathcal{P}_0 u\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} = \\ &= (N_2 \|B_1\| + N_1 \|B_2\|) \|\omega\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} = q \|\omega\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}, \quad (q < 1). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, subject to the theorem, the operator  $E + \mathcal{P}_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{-1}$  is invertible in  $L_2(R_+; 0)$ . Hence we obtain

$$\|u\|_{W_2^2(R_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|f\|_{L_2(R_+; H)}$$

The theorem is proved  $\square$

### 3. Theorem on the completeness of the system $K(\Pi_-)$ and the system of decreasing elementary solutions of homogeneous equation

In [6], M.G. Gasyimov shows that for studying the completeness of the system  $K(\Pi_-)$  it suffices to prove the existence of regular solutions of the problem

$$P(d/dt)u(t) = 0 \quad t \in R_+ = (0, \infty) \quad (3.1)$$

$$u(0) = \varphi, \quad \varphi \in H_{5/2} \quad (3.2)$$

and some analytic properties of the resolvent  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$ .

**Definition 3.1.** If for any  $\varphi \in H_{5/2}$  there exists a regular solution of equation (3.1), satisfying the boundary condition in the sense

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \|u(t) - \varphi\|_{5/2} = 0$$

and

$$\|u\|_{W_2^2(R_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|\varphi\|_{5/2},$$

then the problem (3.1) and (3.2) is said to be regularly solvable.

We have

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let all the conditions of Theorem 2.8 be fulfilled. Then the problem (3.1), (3.2) is regularly solvable.*

*Proof.* We make substitution  $u(t) = v(t) + e^{-tA}\varphi$ , where  $v(t) \in W_2^3(R_+; H; 0)$  and  $\varphi \in H_{5/2}$ . Then the problem (3.1),(3.2) can be written in the following form:

$$P(d/dt)v(t) = g(t) \quad t \in R_+ \quad (3.3)$$

$$v(0) = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

where  $g(t) \in L_2(R_+; H)$ . Indeed  $g(t) = -A_1 A^2 e^{-tA}\varphi + A_2 A e^{-tA}\varphi$  and considering (1.8) we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(t)\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} &= \|A_1 A^2 e^{-tA}\varphi\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} + \|A_2 A e^{-tA}\varphi\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \leq \\ &\leq \|B_1\| \|A^3 e^{-tA}\varphi\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} + \|B_2\| \|A^3 e^{-tA}\varphi\|_{L_2(R_+; H)} \leq \text{const} \|\varphi\|_{5/2} \end{aligned}$$

Then problem (3.3), (3.4) subject to the conditions of the theorem has a regular solution, and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \|v(t)\|_{3/2} = \lim_{t \rightarrow +0} \|u(t) - \varphi\|_{5/2} = 0$$

the following estimation holds

$$\|v(t)\|_{W_2^2(R_+;H)} \leq \text{const} \|g(t)\|_{L_2(R_+;H)} \leq \text{const} \|\varphi\|_{5/2}$$

Using the inequality (1.9) we obtain:

$$\|u\|_{W_2^2(R_+;H)} \leq \|v\|_{W_2^2(R_+;H)} + \|e^{-tA}\varphi\|_{W_2^2(R_+;H)} \leq \text{const} \|\varphi\|_{5/2}$$

The theorem is proved □

We now study some properties of the resolvent  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$ .

Let  $T$  be some completely continuous operator in  $H$ . The eigenvalue of the operator  $|T| = (T^*T)^{1/2}$  is called  $s$ - numbers of the operator  $T$ . We will consider

$$s_1 \geq s_2 \geq \dots \geq s_n \geq \dots$$

If for  $\rho > 0$ ,

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} s_k^\rho < \infty,$$

then we say that  $T \in \sigma_\rho(H)$ . For  $T \in \sigma_\rho(H)$  the operators  $BT$  and  $TB \in \sigma_\rho(H)$ , for any bounded operator  $B$ . If  $A = A^* \geq \mu_0 E$ ,  $\mu_0 > 0$ , and  $A^{-1}$  is a completely continuous operator, and  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_k \leq \dots$  are eigenvalues of the operator  $A$ , then we say that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k^{-\rho} < \infty$$

Note that if  $u_h(t)$  is an elementary solution of the homogeneous equation, then  $u_h(0) = x_h$ .

We have

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let conditions 1), 2) be fulfilled. Then for any  $\alpha \in (0, \pi/4]$  subject to the inequality*

$$\|B_1\| + \|B_2\| < \sqrt{2}d^{-3/2} \sin \frac{\alpha}{2},$$

where  $d = d_1 = d_2 = \left(\frac{4}{27}\right)^{1/2}$ , on the rays  $\Gamma_{\pi \pm \alpha} = \{\lambda : \lambda = re^{i(\pi \pm \alpha)}, r > 0\}$  there exists a resolvent  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$  and for large  $|\lambda|$  we have the estimations

$$\|P^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq \text{const} |\lambda|^{-3}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda \in \Gamma_{\pi \pm \alpha}$ . Then from the representation  $P(\lambda) = (E + P_1(\lambda)P_0^{-1}(\lambda))P_0(\lambda)$  for the invertibility of  $P(\lambda)$ , we estimate the norms  $\|P_1(\lambda)P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\|$  on the rays  $\Gamma_{\pi \pm \alpha}$ . Obviously,

$$\|P_1(\lambda)P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq \|B_1\| \|\lambda^2 A P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\| + \|B_2\| \|\lambda^2 A^2 P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\|$$

On the rays  $\Gamma_{\pi\pm\alpha}$  from the spectral expansion of  $A$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\lambda^2 A P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\| &= \sup_{\sigma \in \sigma(A)} |r^2 \sigma (r e^{i(\pi\pm\alpha)} - \sigma)^{-2} (r e^{i(\pi\pm\alpha)} - \sigma)^{-1}| = \\ &= \sup_{\sigma \in \sigma(A)} |r^2 \sigma (r^2 + \sigma^2 + 2r\sigma \cos \alpha)^{-1} (r^2 + \sigma^2 - 2r\sigma \cos \alpha)^{-1/2}| \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{\sigma \in \sigma(A)} |r^2 \sigma (r^2 + \sigma^2)^{-3/2} 2^{-1/2} \sin^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{2}| \leq d^{3/2} 2^{-1/2} \sin^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we obtain

$$\|\lambda A^2 P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq d^{3/2} 2^{-1/2} \sin^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Then for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_{\pi\pm\alpha}$  and subject to the condition of the theorem

$$\|P_1(\lambda) P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq d^{3/2} 2^{-1/2} \sin^{-1} \frac{\alpha}{2} (\|B_1\| + \|B_2\|) < 1.$$

Subject to the condition of the theorem, we obtain  $\|P_1(\lambda) P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\| < 1$  for  $\lambda \in \Gamma_{\pi\pm\alpha}$  therefore  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$  exists and for large  $|\lambda|$  we have the estimations

$$\|P^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq \text{const} \|P_0^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq \text{const} |\lambda|^{-3}.$$

□

The following corollary holds.

**Corollary 3.1.** *If conditions 1), 2) are fulfilled and the inequality*

$$N_2(R_+; 0) \|B_1\| + N_1(R_+; 0) \|B_2\| < \sqrt{2} \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}$$

*holds then  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$  exists on all the rays and for large  $\lambda \in \Gamma_{\pi\pm\alpha}$  we have the estimations  $\|P^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq \text{const} |\lambda|^{-3}$ .*

Here the numbers  $N_1(R_+; 0)$  and  $N_2(R_+; 0)$  were determined from Theorems 2.6 and 2.7.

*Proof.* Since,  $N_2(R_+; 0) > d^{3/2}$  and  $N_1(R_+; 0) > d^{3/2}$ , then  $N_2(R_+; 0) \cdot d^{-3/2} > 1$   $N_1(R_+; 0) \cdot d^{-3/2} > 1$ . From the condition of the corollary we obtain

$$\|B_1\| + \|B_2\| < d^{-3/2} (N_2(R_+; 0) \|B_1\| + N_1(R_+; 0) \|B_2\|) < d^{-3/2} \sqrt{2} \sin \frac{\alpha}{2}.$$

Therefore, by Lemma 3.1  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$  exists, and  $\|P^{-1}(\lambda)\| \leq \text{const} |\lambda|^{-3}$ . The corollary is proved. □

We now prove a theorem on the completeness of the system  $K(\Pi_-)$  in space  $H_{5/2}$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let conditions 1), 2) be fulfilled,  $A^{-1} \in \sigma_\rho(H)$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ) and the following inequality hold:*

$$N_2(R_+; 0) \|B_1\| + N_1(R_+; 0) \|B_2\| < \begin{cases} 1 & ; \quad \rho \in (0, 1] \\ \sqrt{2} \sin \frac{\pi}{4\rho} & ; \quad \rho \in [1, \infty) \end{cases}$$

*Then the system  $K(\Pi_-)$  is complete in  $H_{5/2}$*

*Proof.* Let  $\rho \in (0, 1]$  an opening between the angles  $(-i\infty, 0)$  and  $(0, i\infty)$  be equal to  $\pi$ ; on these rays subject to the inequality

$$N_2(R_+; 0) \|B_1\| + N_1(R_+; 0) \|B_2\| < 1$$

there exists a regular solution of problem (3.1) and (3.2), and  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$  is invertible on the imaginary axis (see Corollary 3.1 for  $\alpha = \frac{\pi}{2}$ ). For  $\rho \in [1, \infty)$  on the rays  $\Gamma_{\pi \pm \pi/2\rho}$  subject to the condition

$$N_2(R_+; 0) \|B_1\| + N_1(R_+; 0) \|B_2\| < \sqrt{2} \sin \frac{\alpha}{4\rho},$$

the solution of the problem (3.1), (3.2)  $u(t)$  exists and a resolvent also exists. Since

$$P(\lambda) = (\lambda^3 A^{-3} + \lambda^2 (B_1 - E) A^{-2} + \lambda (B_2 - E) A^{-1} + E) A^3$$

and  $A^{-1} \in \sigma_\rho(H)$ ,  $A^{-2} \in \sigma_{2\rho}(H)$ ,  $A^{-3} \in \sigma_{3\rho}(H)$ , by M. G. Gasymov's lemma [5]  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$  is represented with respect to two entire functions at most order  $\rho$ , and for the order  $\rho$  these functions are of minimum type. On the other hand, after the Laplace transformation this solution  $u(t)$  can be represented in the form

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi t} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} P^{-1}(\lambda) \sum_{\nu=0}^2 Q_\nu u^{(\nu)}(0) e^{\lambda t} d\lambda \quad (3.5)$$

where the polynomial  $Q_\nu(\lambda) (\nu = \overline{0, 3})$  has at most two orders. Using the behavior of the resolvent  $P^{-1}(\lambda)$  on the imaginary axis, we can represent the integral (3.5) in the form:

$$u(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} P^{-1}(\lambda) \sum_{\nu=0}^2 Q_\nu(\lambda) u^{(\nu)}(0) e^{\lambda t} d\lambda \quad (3.6)$$

where  $\Gamma_\theta$  for sufficiently small  $\theta$  coincides with the rays  $\Gamma_{\pi-\theta}$  and  $\Gamma_{\pi+\theta}$ . Note that  $u(t) \in W_2^3(R_+; H)$ , and therefore its Laplace transform is an analytic function in the right half plane, is bounded and has finite values on the imaginary axis.

From (3.6) it follows that for  $t > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (u(t), \varphi)_{5/2} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} \left( A^{5/2} P^{-1}(\lambda) \sum_{\nu=0}^2 Q_\nu^{(\lambda)} u^{(j)}(0), A^{5/2} \varphi \right) e^{\lambda t} d\lambda = \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^2 Q_\nu^{(\lambda)} u^{(j)}(0), (A^{5/2} P^{-1}(\bar{\lambda}))^* A^{5/2} \varphi \right) e^{\lambda t} d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from M.G. Gasymov's [5,6] theorem that the system  $K(\Pi_-)$  is complete in  $H_{5/2}$  if and only if the function  $v(\lambda) = (A^{5/2} P^{-1}(\bar{\lambda}))^* A^{5/2} \varphi$  is analytic in the left hand plane  $\Pi_-$ , it follows that  $\varphi = 0$ . Assume  $\varphi \neq 0$  and  $v(\lambda)$  is an analytic function in the left half plane  $\Pi_-$ . Then for  $t > 0$  from the representation of the solution  $u(t)$  we obtain:

$$(u(t), \varphi)_{5/2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_\theta} \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^2 Q u^{(\nu)}(0), v(\bar{\lambda}) \right) e^{\lambda t} d\lambda$$

and the function  $\eta(\lambda) = \left( \sum_{\nu=0}^2 Q_{\nu}^{(\lambda)} u^{(\nu)}(0), v(\bar{\lambda}) \right)$  will be an analytic function in the  $\Pi_{-}$ ,  $\eta(\lambda)$  grows at infinity no rapidly than  $|\lambda|^3$ , since  $\left\| \sum_{\nu=0}^2 Q_{\nu} u^{(\nu)}(0) \right\| \leq \leq \text{const} |\lambda|^2$ , and

$$\|v(\lambda)\| \leq \left\| A^{5/2} P^{-1}(\lambda) \right\| \left\| A^{5/2} \varphi \right\| \leq \text{const} \left\| A^{5/2} P_0^{-1}(\lambda) \right\| \left\| A^{5/2} \varphi \right\| \leq \text{const} |\lambda|^{-1/2}.$$

Thus, applying the Phragmen-Lindelof theorem for  $\rho \in (0, 1]$  we obtain that  $\eta(\lambda)$  is a polynomial of degree not more than three. For  $\rho \in (1, \infty)$  the function  $\eta(\lambda)$ , by the Phragmen-Lindelof theorem, is an analytic function in the sector  $\left\{ \lambda : |\arg \lambda - \pi| < \frac{\pi}{2\rho} \right\}$ . It follows from Lemma 3.1 that subject to the conditions of the theorem in the sector where the sides are the rays  $\Gamma_{\pi/2\rho}$  and  $\Gamma_{3\pi/2\rho}$ , by the Phragmen-Lindelof theorem,  $\eta(\lambda)$  is an analytic function. Continuing this process, we obtain that subject to the condition of the theorem,  $\eta(\lambda)$  is an entire function. Since  $\eta(\lambda)$  is an entire function and grows no rapidly than  $|\lambda|^2$ , we have

$$\eta(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^2 h_k \lambda^k, h_k \in \mathbb{C}; k = 0, 1, 2.$$

For  $t > 0$

$$(u(t), \varphi)_{5/2} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma_{\theta}} \left( \sum_{k=0}^2 h_k \lambda^k \right) e^{\lambda t} d\lambda = 0,$$

since

$$\int_{\Gamma_{\theta}} \lambda^k e^{\lambda t} d\lambda = 0, k = 0, 1, 2.$$

Thus for  $t > 0$  we have  $(u(t), \varphi)_{5/2} = 0$ .

Passing to the limit as  $t \rightarrow 0$  we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +0} (u(t), \varphi)_5 = (\varphi, \varphi)_{5/2} = \|\varphi\|_{5/2}^2 = 0$$

i.e.  $\varphi = 0$ . We get a contradiction, which proves the theorem.  $\square$

From the completeness of the system  $K(\Pi_{-})$  in  $H_{5/2}$  and regular solvability of problem (3.1), (3.2) we obtain a theorem on the completeness of the system of decreasing elementary solutions in the space of regular solutions of the homogeneous equation.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let all the conditions of theorem 3.2 be fulfilled. Then the system of decreasing elementary solutions of the homogeneous equations is complete in the space of all regular solutions of the homogeneous equation.*

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  be any number. Then there exist a number  $N > 0$ , numbers  $c_{i,j,h}(\varepsilon)$  and a system of eigen and associated vectors  $\lambda_i$  responding to the eigen values  $\text{Re}\lambda_i < 0$ , (the index shows that the number  $\lambda_i$  can respond to several systems of eigen and associated vectors) such that

$$\left\| \varphi - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{(j,h)} c_{i,j,h}(\varepsilon) x_{i,j,h} \right\| < \varepsilon_1.$$



Since  $x_{i,j,h}(t) = u_{i,j,h}(0)$  and  $\varphi = u(0)$ , the regular solvability of the problem (3.1), (3.2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| u(t) - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{(j,h)} c_{i,j,h} u_{i,j,h}(t) \right\|_{W_2^3(R_+; H)} \leq \\ & \leq \text{const} \left\| \varphi - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{(j,h)} c_{i,j,h}(\varepsilon) x_{i,j,h} \right\| < \varepsilon, \text{const} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

where  $\varepsilon$  is any positive number. The theorem has been proved.  $\square$

## References

- [1] A.R. Aliev, A.L. Elbably, On the solvability in a weighted space of a third-order operator-differential equation with multiple characteristics, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk*, **443** (2012) no. 4, 407-409. (Russian)
- [2] A.R. Aliev, A.L. Elbably, Completeness of derivative chains for polynomial operator pencil of third order with multiple characteristics, *Azerb. J. Math.*, **4** (2014), no. 2, 3-9.
- [3] A.R. Aliev, A.L. Elbably, On a class of operator-differential equations of the third order with multiple characteristics on the whole axis in the weighted space, *Math. Slovaca*, **65** (2015) no.3, 667-682.
- [4] A.R. Aliev, A.L. Elbably, N.I. Muradova, On solvability conditions of boundary-value problem for a class of operator-differential equations of third order in Sobolev type-spaces, *Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb.*, **48** (2022) no 2, 285-294.
- [5] M.G. Gasymov, The multiple completeness of part of the eigen- and associated vectors of polynomial operator bundles, *Izv. Akad Nauk Arm. SSR, ser Mathematics*, **6** (1971) no. 2-3, 131-147. (Russian)
- [6] M.G. Gasymov, On the theory of polynomial operator pencils, *DAN SSSR*, **199** (1971), no.4, 747-750. (Russian)
- [7] M.G. Gasymov, S.S. Mirzoev On the solvability of the boundary value problems for the operator-differential equations of elliptic type of the second order. *Differentsial'nye Uravneniya*, **28** (1992), no.4, 651-661. (Russian)
- [8] A.T. Gazilova, On the estimation of the norms of intermediate derivate by the norms of third order operator-differential expressions of elliptic type. *J. Contemporary Appl. Math.*, **8** (2018), no. 1, 19-24. (Russian)
- [9] M.V. Keldysh, On completeness of eigen functions of some classes of not self-adjoint operators. *Uspekhi Mat.Nauk*, **26** (1971), no.4, 15-41. (Russian)
- [10] G.L. Lions, E. Magenes *Non-homogeneous boundary value problems and their applications*, M., 1971, 371 pp.
- [11] S.S. Mirzoev, A.T. Gazilova, On the completeness of a part of root vectors for a class of third-order quasi-elliptic operator pencils, *Mat. Zametki*, **105** (2019), no 5, 801-804. (Russian)
- [12] S.S. Mirzoyev, H.I. Zamanov, On multiple completeness of eigen and associated vectors of a class of operator pencils, *Proc. Inst. Math. Mech. Natl. Acad. Sci. Azerb.*, **43** (2017), no.2, 188-196.
- [13] G.V. Radzievskiy, The problem of completeness of root vectors in the spectral theory of operator-valued functions, *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk* **37** (1982), no. 2(224), 81-145. (Russian)
- [14] A.A. Shkalikov, Elliptic equations in a Hilbert space and related spectral problems, *J. Soviet Math.*, **51** (1990), no. 4, 2399-2467 (translated from Trudy Sem. Petrovsk., **14** (1989), 140-224.)

Sabir S. Mirzoyev  
*Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, Ministry of Science and Education  
of the Republic of Azerbaijan, Baku, Azerbaijan*  
E-mail address: mirzoyevsabir@mail.ru

Aydan T. Gazilova  
*Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Baku, Azerbaijan  
Khazar University, Baku, Azerbaijan  
Western Caspian University, Baku, Azerbaijan*  
E-mail address: aydan-9393@list.ru

Received: September 25, 2023; Revised: December 11, 2023; Accepted: December 25,  
2023