Proceedings of the Institute of Mathematics and Mechanics, National Academy of Sciences of Azerbaijan Volume 51, Number 1, 2025, Pages 66–73 https://doi.org/10.30546/2409-4994.2025.51.1.1016

# ON au-INEQUALITIES AND EQUATIONS EMERGING FROM SQUARE ROOT MAPPINGS

B.V. SENTHIL KUMAR, HEMEN DUTTA, G. ARUL FREEDA VINODHINI, AND S. SURESH

Abstract. This work aims to present equations and  $\tau$ -inequalities resulting from square root mappings. The noteworthy stability results related to various inequalities and equations with additive, quadratic, cubic and quartic mappings as approximate solutions motivated us to introduce novel form of functional inequalities and equations involved with radical arguments. We prove the stabilities of the inequalities and equations dealt in this study through Hyers' method (direct method) in the domain of non-negative real numbers.

#### 1. Introduction

The approximation of various mappings emanated through an inquisitive query raised in [13] pertinent to homomorphisms occurring in group theory. The first brilliant response to this question was presented in [6] in the setting of Banach spaces. Further, this stability problem was dealt with in various directions in [1, 11] by considering the unbounded Cauchy difference. Moreover, a generalized form of the stability result was discussed in [3]. The solutions and non-Archimedean stabilities of  $\rho$ -inequalities and equations arising from linear mappings have been studied in [8, 9] and their non-Archimedean 2-normed stabilities in [14]. In the setting of complex Banach spaces, the analytic solutions and pertinent stabilities of the  $\rho$ -functional inequalities and equations arising from quadratic mapping have been established in [7].

It was proved in [4] that if a mapping  $\varphi$  satisfies the inequality

$$||2\varphi(a) + 2\varphi(b) - \varphi(ab^{-1})|| \le ||\varphi(ab)|| \tag{1.1}$$

then  $\varphi$  satisfies the equation  $2\varphi(a) + 2\varphi(b) = \varphi(ab) + \varphi(ab^{-1})$ . Further, the stability results of inequality (1.1) have been obtained in [2, 5]. The stabilities of Cauchy-Jensen kind additive  $\rho$ -functional inequalities have been studied in [12]. The  $\rho$ -inequalities arising from cubic and quartic functions were discussed in [10] to prove their Ulam stabilities.

The interesting and significant results associated with several inequalities

 $<sup>2010\</sup> Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 39B32,\ 39B62,\ 39B82.$ 

Key words and phrases. radical mapping, square root mapping, Ulam-Hyers stability, generalized Ulam-Hyers-Rassias stability.

and equations arising from additive, quadratic, cubic and quartic mappings motivated us to introduce the following  $\tau$ -inequalities

$$\left| \varphi(a+b+2\sqrt{ab}) + \varphi(a+b-2\sqrt{ab}) - 2\varphi(a) \right| \le \left| \tau \left( 4\varphi\left(\frac{a+b+2\sqrt{ab}}{4}\right) + 4\varphi\left(\frac{a+b-2\sqrt{ab}}{4}\right) - 4\varphi(a) \right) \right| \quad (1.2)$$

and

$$\left| 4\varphi\left(\frac{a+b+2\sqrt{ab}}{4}\right) + 4\varphi\left(\frac{a+b-2\sqrt{ab}}{4}\right) - 4\varphi(a) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \tau\left(\varphi(a+b+2\sqrt{ab}) + \varphi(a+b-2\sqrt{ab}) - 2\varphi(a)\right) \right|$$
 (1.3)

where  $\tau$  is a fixed real number with the condition  $|\tau| < \frac{1}{2}$ . We find the solution of the above inequalities (1.2) and (1.3). In addition, we also discuss the stability problems of the functional inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) by taking the domain as  $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ .

In our entire investigation, we assume that  $\mathbb{R}$  is the space of real numbers and  $A = \mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$ . To perform the computations in a simpler manner, let us denote

$$D_1\varphi(a,b) = \varphi(a+b+2\sqrt{ab}) + \varphi(a+b-2\sqrt{ab}) - 2\varphi(a)$$

and

$$D_2\varphi(a,b) = 4\varphi\left(\frac{a+b+2\sqrt{ab}}{4}\right) + 4\varphi\left(\frac{a+b-2\sqrt{ab}}{4}\right) - 4\varphi(a).$$

Then the inequalities (1.2) and (1.3), respectively can be written as

$$|D_1\varphi(a,b)| \le |\tau(D_2\varphi(a,b))|$$

and

$$|D_2\varphi(a,b)| \leq |\tau(D_1\varphi(a,b))|$$
.

In the next sections, we assume that  $\varphi: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function.

# 2. Function satisfying inequality (1.2)

Here, we find the function that satisfies the inequality (1.2). We begin with the following definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Suppose a function  $\varphi$  satisfies the following equation

$$\varphi(a+b+2\sqrt{ab}) + \varphi(a+b-2\sqrt{ab}) = 2\varphi(a)$$
(2.1)

for all  $a, b \in A$ , where  $a \ge b$ . Then  $\varphi$  is called a square root function. Also, we call equation (2.1) a square-root functional equation.

Remark 2.1. The function  $\varphi(a) = \sqrt{a}$ ,  $a \in A$ , satisfies equation (2.1).

**Theorem 2.1.** A function  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  is a square root function if and only if it satisfies the inequality (1.2).

*Proof.* First, let us prove the necessity part. Suppose  $\varphi$  satisfies (1.2). Letting b = a in (1.2), we get

$$|\varphi(4a) - 2\varphi(a)| \le 0$$

and therefore it leads to

$$\varphi\left(\frac{a}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(a) \tag{2.2}$$

for all  $a \in A$ . The inequality (1.2) together with (2.2) produces

$$|D_1\varphi(a,b)| \le |\tau(D_2\varphi(a,b))|$$
  
 
$$\le 2|\tau||D_1\varphi(a,b)|$$

which induces

$$D_1\varphi(a,b)=0.$$

Hence  $\varphi$  is a square root function.

The sufficiency part of the proof is obviously valid.

Corollary 2.1. A function  $\varphi$  is a square root function if and only if it is a solution of the ensuing equation

$$D_1\varphi(a,b) = \tau\left(D_2\varphi(a,b)\right) \tag{2.3}$$

П

for all  $a, b \in A$ .

Remark 2.2. Equation (2.3) is termed a square root  $\tau$ -functional equation.

# 3. Function satisfying inequality (1.3)

In the following results, we find the function which satisfies the inequality (1.3).

**Theorem 3.1.** A function  $\varphi$  is a square root function if and only if it satisfies the inequality (1.3).

*Proof.* First, let us show the necessity part of the proof. Suppose that  $\varphi$  satisfies the inequality (1.3). By setting a = b = 0 in (1.3), we obtain that

$$4|\varphi(0)| \le 0$$

which produces  $\varphi(0) = 0$ . Now, replacing b with 0 in (1.3), we find

$$\left| 8\varphi\left(\frac{a}{4}\right) - 4\varphi(a) \right| \le 0$$

which leads to

$$\varphi\left(\frac{a}{4}\right) = \frac{1}{2}\varphi(a) \tag{3.1}$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Substituting the result from equation (3.1) in (1.3), we get

$$2 |D_1 \varphi(a, b)| = |D_2 \varphi(a, b)|$$
  
 
$$\leq |\tau| |D_1 \varphi(a, b)|$$

which yields

$$D_1\varphi(a,b) = 0$$

and hence equation (2.1) follows.

The sufficiency part of proof is obviously valid.

Corollary 3.1. A function  $\varphi$  is a square root function if and only if it is a solution of the equation

$$D_2\varphi(a,b) = \tau\left(D_1\varphi(a,b)\right)$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ .

# 4. Solution to the stability problems of inequality (1.2)

In the following theorem, we find the solution to the stability problem of (1.2).

**Theorem 4.1.** Suppose a function  $\zeta: A \times A \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \zeta \left( 4^{\beta} a, 4^{\beta} b \right) < \infty \tag{4.1}$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ . If a function  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  satisfies the following inequality

$$|D_1\varphi(a,b)| \le |\tau\left(D_2\varphi(a,b)\right)| + \zeta(a,b) \tag{4.2}$$

for all  $a,b \in A$ , then a unique approximate square root function  $\Phi: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  exists and satisfies (1.2) with the condition that

$$|\varphi(a) - \Phi(a)| \le \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \zeta\left(4^{\beta} a, 4^{\beta} a\right)$$

$$\tag{4.3}$$

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* Firstly, we show that there exists a square root function  $\Phi$  which satisfies (4.3). To achieve this, let us replace a with b in (4.2). Then we obtain

$$\left|\frac{1}{2}\varphi(4a) - \varphi(a)\right| \le \frac{1}{2}\zeta(a,a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Suppose  $\lambda, \mu$  are positive integers with  $\mu > \lambda$ . Then, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{2^{\lambda}} \varphi(4^{\beta} a) - \frac{1}{2^{\mu}} \varphi(4^{\mu} a) \right| \leq \sum_{\beta=\lambda}^{\mu-1} \left| \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \varphi(4^{\beta} a) - \frac{1}{2^{\beta+1}} \varphi\left(4^{\beta+1} a\right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta=\lambda}^{\mu-1} \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \zeta(4^{\beta} a, 4^{\beta} a) \tag{4.4}$$

for all  $a \in A$ . By the reasoning of the description of  $\zeta$  in (4.1) and utilizing (4.4), the sequence  $\left\{\frac{1}{2^{\beta}}\varphi(4^{\beta}a)\right\}$  is Cauchy. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, this sequence converges to a function  $\Phi: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\Phi(a) = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{\beta}}\varphi(4^{\beta}a)$ , for each  $a \in A$ . Furthermore, letting  $\lambda = 0$  and allowing  $\mu \to \infty$  in (4.4), we arrive at

70B.V. SENTHIL KUMAR, HEMEN DUTTA, G. ARUL FREEDA VINODHINI, AND S. SURESH

(4.3). Using (4.2), we have

$$|D_{1}\Phi(a,b)|$$

$$= \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \left| \varphi \left( 4^{\beta}(a+b+2\sqrt{ab}) \right) + \varphi \left( 4^{\beta}(a+b-2\sqrt{ab}) \right) - 2\varphi(4^{\beta}a) \right|$$

$$\leq \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} |\tau| \left| 4\varphi \left( 4^{\beta} \left( \frac{a+b+2\sqrt{ab}}{4} \right) \right) + 4\varphi \left( 4^{\beta} \left( \frac{a+b-2\sqrt{ab}}{4} \right) \right) - 4\varphi(4^{\beta}a) \right|$$

$$+ \lim_{\beta \to \infty} \frac{1}{2^{\beta}} \zeta(4^{\beta}a, 4^{\beta}b)$$

$$= |\tau| |D_{2}\Phi(a,b)|$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ . Hence, we conclude that

$$|D_1\Phi(a,b)| \le |\tau| |D_2\Phi(a,b)|$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ . By Theorem 2.1, the mapping  $\Phi$  is a square root function. In order to show that  $\Phi$  is unique, let  $\Gamma: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be another square root function satisfying (4.3). Now, we obtain for all  $a \in A$  that

$$\begin{split} |\Phi(a) - \Gamma(a)| &= \frac{1}{2^{\eta}} |\Phi(4^{\eta}a) - \Gamma(4^{\eta}a)| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{\eta}} (|\Phi(4^{\eta}a) - \varphi(4^{\eta}a)| + |\varphi(4^{\eta}a) - \Gamma(4^{\eta}a)|) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^{\eta}} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{\eta+\beta}} \zeta(4^{\eta+\beta}a, 4^{\eta+\beta}a) \longrightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we conclude with  $\Phi(a) = \Gamma(a)$ , for all  $a \in A$ . Hence,  $\Phi$  is unique and satisfies (4.3).

The following corollary shows that the solution exists for the stability problem of inequality (1.2) involved with sum of powers.

Corollary 4.1. Assume k > 0,  $p > \frac{1}{2}$  are real numbers. If a function  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$|D_1\varphi(a,b)| \le |\tau\left(D_2\varphi(a,b)\right)| + k\left(a^p + b^p\right)$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ , then a unique approximate square root function  $\Phi : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  exists and satisfies (1.2) such that

$$|\varphi(a) - \Phi(a)| \le \frac{k}{1 - 2^{2p+1}} a^p$$
 (4.5)

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows by taking  $\zeta(a,b) = k(a^p + b^p)$  in Theorem 4.1 and proceeding with arguments parallel to those used in Theorem 4.1.

## 5. Solution to the stability problems of inequality (1.3)

In the following theorem, we find the solution to the stability problem of inequality (1.3).

**Theorem 5.1.** Suppose a function  $\zeta: A \times A \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$  satisfies

$$\sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} 2^{\beta} \zeta \left( \frac{a}{4^{\beta}}, \frac{b}{4^{\beta}} \right) < \infty \tag{5.1}$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ . If a function  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(0) = 0$  satisfies the following inequality

$$|D_2\varphi(a,b)| \le |\tau(D_1\varphi(a,b))| + \zeta(a,b) \tag{5.2}$$

for all  $a,b \in A$ , then a unique approximate square root function  $\Phi: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  exists and satisfies (1.3) with the condition that

$$|\varphi(a) - \Phi(a)| \le \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} 2^{\beta} \zeta\left(\frac{a}{4^{\beta}}, 0\right)$$
 (5.3)

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* First, we show that there exists a square root function  $\Phi$  which satisfies (5.3). To achieve this, letting b = 0 in (5.2), we obtain

$$\left|2\varphi\left(\frac{a}{4}\right) - \varphi(a)\right| \le \frac{1}{4}\zeta(a,0)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Suppose  $\lambda, \mu$  are positive integers with  $\mu > \lambda$ . Then, we have

$$\left| 2^{\lambda} \varphi \left( \frac{a}{4^{\beta}} \right) - 2^{\mu} \varphi \left( \frac{a}{4^{\mu}} \right) \right| \leq \sum_{\beta = \lambda}^{\mu - 1} \left| 2^{\beta} \varphi \left( \frac{a}{4^{\beta}} \right) - 2^{\beta + 1} \varphi \left( \frac{a}{4^{\beta + 1}} \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\beta = \lambda}^{\mu - 1} 2^{\beta} \zeta \left( \frac{a}{4^{\beta}}, 0 \right)$$
(5.4)

for all  $a \in A$ . By the reasoning of the description of  $\zeta$  in (5.1) and utilizing (5.4), the sequence  $\left\{2^{\beta}\varphi\left(\frac{a}{4^{\beta}}\right)\right\}$  is Cauchy. Since  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, this sequence converges to a function  $\Phi: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by  $\Phi(a) = \lim_{\beta \to \infty} 2^{\beta}\varphi\left(\frac{a}{4^{\beta}}\right)$ , for each  $a \in A$ . Furthermore, letting  $\lambda = 0$  and allowing  $\mu \to \infty$  in (5.4), we arrive at (5.3). Using (5.2), we have

 $|D_2\Phi(a,b)|$ 

$$\begin{split} &=\lim_{\beta\to\infty}2^{\beta}\left|4\varphi\left(\frac{1}{4^{\beta}}\left(\frac{a+b+2\sqrt{ab}}{4}\right)\right)+4\varphi\left(\frac{1}{4^{\beta}}\left(\frac{a+b-2\sqrt{ab}}{4}\right)\right)-4\varphi\left(\frac{a}{4^{\beta}}\right)\right|\\ &\leq\lim_{\beta\to\infty}2^{\beta}|\tau|\left|\varphi\left(\frac{1}{4^{\beta}}(a+b+2\sqrt{ab})\right)+\varphi\left(\frac{1}{4^{\beta}}(a+b-2\sqrt{ab})\right)-2\varphi\left(\frac{a}{4^{\beta}}\right)\right|\\ &+\lim_{\beta\to\infty}2^{\beta}\zeta\left(\frac{a}{4^{\beta}},0\right) \end{split}$$

 $= |\tau| |D_2\Phi(a,b)|$ 

for all  $a, b \in A$ . Hence, we conclude that

$$|D_2\Phi(a,b)| \le |\tau| |D_1\Phi(a,b)|$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ . By Theorem 3.1, the mapping  $\Phi$  is a square root function. In order to show that  $\Phi$  is unique, let  $\Gamma: A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be another square root function satisfying (5.3). Now, we obtain for all  $a \in A$  that

$$\begin{split} |\Phi(a) - \Gamma(a)| &= 2^{\eta} \left| \Phi\left(\frac{a}{4^{\eta}}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{a}{4^{\eta}}\right) \right| \\ &\leq 2^{\eta} \left( \left| \Phi\left(\frac{a}{4^{\eta}}\right) - \varphi\left(\frac{a}{4^{\eta}}\right) \right| + \left| \varphi\left(\frac{a}{4^{\eta}}\right) - \Gamma\left(\frac{a}{4^{\eta}}\right) \right| \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{\eta} \sum_{\beta=0}^{\infty} 2^{\eta+\beta} \zeta\left(\frac{a}{4^{\eta+\beta}}, 0\right) \longrightarrow 0. \end{split}$$

Therefore, we conclude that  $\Phi(a) = \Gamma(a)$ , for all  $a \in A$ . Hence,  $\Phi$  is unique and satisfies (5.3).

The following corollary shows that the solution exists for the stability problem of inequality (1.3) involved with sum of powers.

Corollary 5.1. Assume that k > 0,  $p < \frac{1}{2}$  are real numbers. If a function  $\varphi$  satisfies

$$|D_2\varphi(a,b)| \le |\tau(D_1\varphi(a,b))| + k(a^p + b^p)$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ , then a unique approximate square root mapping  $\Phi : A \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  exists and satisfies (1.3) such that

$$|\varphi(a) - \Phi(a)| \le \frac{2k}{8(1 - 2^{2p+1})} a^p$$
 (5.5)

for all  $a \in A$ .

*Proof.* The proof follows by considering  $\zeta(a,b) = k (a^p + b^p)$  in Theorem 5.1 and proceeding with similar arguments as in Theorem 5.1.

#### 6. Conclusion

This is the first study in which inequalities and equations resulting from square root function are introduced and their approximate solutions are found using Ulam's stability theory. These radical  $\tau$ -functional inequalities produce different stability results compared to other functional inequalities. Moreover, the functional inequalities involve arguments in radical form, which is novel in stability theory research. We conclude that the stability problems of inequalities (1.2) and (1.3) have solutions in the setting of non-negative real numbers.

**Acknowledgements.** H. Dutta gratefully acknowledges funding received from the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB) of India under the MATRICS Scheme (F. No.: MTR/2020/000534).

## References

- [1] T. Aoki, On the stability of the linear transformation in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* 2 (1950), 64–66.
- [2] W. Fechner, Stability of a functional inequality associated with the Jordan-Von Neumann functional equation, *Aeguationes Math.* **71** (2006), 149–161.

- [3] P. Găvruta, A generalization of the Hyers-Ulam-Rassias stability of approximately additive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 184 (1994), 431–436.
- [4] A. Gilányi A, Eine zur Parallelogrammgleichung äquivalente Ungleichung, Aequationes Math. **62** (2001), 303–309.
- [5] A. Gilányi, On a problem by K. Nikodem, Math. Inequal. Appl. 5 (2002), 707–810.
- [6] D. H. Hyers, On the stability of the linear functional equation, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **27** (1941), 222–224.
- [7] C. Park, Quadratic  $\rho$ -functional inequalities and equations, J. Nonlinear Anal. Appl. **2014** (2014), 1–9.
- [8] C. Park, Additive  $\rho$ -functional inequalities and equations, J. Math. Inequal. 9 (2015), 17–26.
- [9] C. Park, Additive  $\rho$ -functional inequalities in non-Archimedean normed spaces, J. *Math. Inequal.* **9** (2015), 397–407.
- [10] C. Park, J. R. Lee and D. Y. Shin, Cubic ρ-functional inequality and quartic ρ-functional inequality, J. Comput. Anal. Appl. 21 (2016), 355–362.
- [11] T. M. Rassias, On the stability of the linear mapping in Banach spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 72 (1978), 297–300.
- [12] W. Suriyacharoen and W. Sintunavarat, On additive  $\rho$ -functional equations arising from Cauchy-Jensen functional equations and their stability, *Appl. Math. Inf. Sci.* **16** (2022), 277–285.
- [13] S. M. Ulam, A Collection of Mathematical Problems, Interscience Publishers, Inc. New York, 1960.
- [14] Z. Wang, C. Park and D. Y. Shin, Additive  $\rho$ -functional inequalities in non-Archimedean 2-normed spaces, *AIMS Math.* **6** (2020), 1905–1919.

## B.V. Senthil Kumar

Department of Mathematics,

C. Abdul Hakeem College of Engineering and Technology,

Melvisharam 632 509, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail address: bvskumarmaths@gmail.com

#### Hemen Dutta

Department of Mathematics,

Gauhati University, Guwahati 781 014, Assam, India

E-mail address: hemen\_dutta08@rediffmail.com

#### G. Arul Freeda Vinodhini

Department of Mathematics, Saveetha School of Engineering,

Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences,

Chennai 602 105, Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail address: arulfreedavinodhini@saveetha.com

## S. Suresh

Department of Mathematics,

Jeppiaar Institute of Technology, Sunguvarchathiram 631 604,

Tamil Nadu, India

E-mail address: sureshs25187@gmail.com

Received: January 22, 2025; Revised: March 5, 2025; Accepted: March 13, 2025